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ABSTRACT:
Working capital decisions are vital for the survival as well as for the smooth functioning of business. Optimal working capital should be achieved by the firm to avoid both under investment and over investment in current assets. Major research has been carried out in finance on the relationship between working capital management and its impact on profitability but there is little research available in context of negative working capital and its impact on the firm’s returns. This paper aims to investigate the negative working capital trend in the FMCG sector by taking the case of Nestlé Global in an attempt to explore if negative working capital is a sure shot reflection of inefficiency of a firm or is rather surprisingly a reflection of the efficiency of the firm. This paper will help to clear the minds of investors who shall after reading this paper will start perceiving firms with negative working capital in positive light instead of shunning such firms on grounds of illiquidity. This paper signals that negative working capital firms may in reality be rather good investment decisions of investors and provides logical justifications for the same. The paper in fact discusses how a firm even with low liquidity levels can exist as not only a major player in its industry but can also be flourishing in terms of its returns.
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INTRODUCTION

Working capital is the difference between the current assets and the current liabilities of the firm. Working capital is used as a measure to gauge the liquidity of a firm.

Working capital management decisions are short term investment decisions and encompass one of the most important decisions a firm has to take. It reflects the ability of the firm to meet its short terms debt and its operational expenses.\(^1\) The main objective of a firm is to increase the market value and working capital management affects profitability of the firm, its risk and thus its value.\(^2\) A tradeoff between liquidity and profitability is required to be made to achieve the optimal amount of working capital the firm would need for its smooth functioning.\(^3\) A large amount of working capital leads to ample amount of funds for the day to day operations of the firm which satisfies the liquidity parameter but at the same time implies loss of profitability due to presence of excess funds for the firm. The firm would have idle funds which it could in fact have been invested by the firm elsewhere and earned returns. Thus huge amount of working capital ensures liquidity but leads to loss of opportunity for profitable investments.

Low amount of working capital on the other hand leads to risks of incapability to meet day to day expenses which in turn tarnish the short term liquidity of the firm and may even lead to eventual insolvency of the firm.

Thus it is extremely crucial for the firm to determine the appropriate amount of working capital.

There are no ideal working capital amounts that a firm needs to maintain. It depends on the company needs. But in general positive working capital is considered to be the parameter for investing in a firm since it indicates that the firm is capacitated to meet its daily expenses. Negative working capital would thus be perceived negatively by an investor as it would reflect lack of liquidity in the firm.

However this may not be true for all sectors especially sectors that are cash based. One such sector is the FMCG where negative capital may not be perceived in negative light. It may in fact indicate efficiency. Negative WC signals that the firm is able to convert its customers and its inventory into cash even before it has to pay its own creditors. The firm thus does not invest intensively on its current assets since the high inventory and receivable turnover rates impart the company to self-finance. This enables the firm to realise cash out of its investment much before it has to pay for it and at the same time strengthens the bargaining power of a firm thereby pushing down its financial costs.
LITERATURE REVIEW

Lazaridis and Tryfonidis\(^4\) probed into the relation between corporate profitability and working capital management. The results of their research showed that there is statistical significance between profitability which was gauged through gross operating profit and the cash conversion cycle.

Chakraborty\(^5\) in his study based on Indian Pharmaceutical firms analysed the relationship between working capital and profitability and concluded two notions one that stated that there may be an inverse relation between working capital and profitability and another notion which stated that working capital may in fact be indispensable for a firm’s profitability.

Ramachandran and Janakiraman\(^6\) explored the relationship between working capital management efficiency and EBIT of the Indian paper industry. The study concluded that cash conversion cycle and inventory days negatively correlated with EBIT but accounts payable days and accounts receivable days were positively correlated with EBIT.

Panigrahi, A.K.\(^7\) analysed the relationship between liquidity, profitability and risk of bankruptcy. It concluded that Wal-Mart with negative working capital was able to maintain a good public image and earn good profits and on the other hand a firm such as ACC Limited despite having adequate amount of working capital was running a risk of insolvency.

OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

The objective of the study is to analyse whether negative working capital is necessarily synonymous with poor returns and activity of a firm or is rather an indication of efficiency of a firm by analysing 10 year data of a major player in the FMCG Sector – Nestlé Global.

The secondary objective will be to investigate the link between inventory days and receivable days with payable days and draw conclusions about the efficiency of the firm from the cash conversion cycles.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The present study has been done from secondary sources. The financial statements have been taken from Nestlé Globe’s website. Microsoft excel tools and ratio analysis has been used to examine and investigate the financial performance of the firm and establish working capital trends.

LIMITATIONS OF STUDY

The limitations encompass the shortcomings of using secondary sources due to possibility of manipulations or inadequate disclosures.
The consideration of one firm may lead to conclusions that may not be true for all the firms across industries.

**COMPANY PROFILE**

The Swiss company Nestlé S.A. was rated as the world’s largest fast moving consumer goods company, in terms of its sales amounting to CHF 91.4 billion in 2018 coupled with an organic growth rate of 3%. It is headquartered in Vevey, Switzerland and employed approximately 323,000 people worldwide in 2018. The product portfolio encompasses beverages such as powdered and liquid beverages or water (Nestlé Waters), to baby and health foods (Nestlé Nutrition) and sweets and snacks (Nestlé confectionery sector). As a globally operating company, Nestlé serves a large variety of different consumer markets and regions around the world.

With a 44.9% market share in America, 29.4% in Europe, Middle East and North Africa and a 22.7% market share in Asia, Oceania and sub-Saharan Africa Nestlé Global is a major player in the FMCG sector around the world.

**ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION**

**Table 1- Working Capital Calculation of Nestlé Global from 2009-2018**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current Assets (In millions of CHF)*</td>
<td>41003</td>
<td>32190</td>
<td>32042</td>
<td>29434</td>
<td>33961</td>
<td>30066</td>
<td>35205</td>
<td>33324</td>
<td>38997</td>
<td>39870</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial debt</td>
<td>14694</td>
<td>10536</td>
<td>12118</td>
<td>9629</td>
<td>8810</td>
<td>11380</td>
<td>18568</td>
<td>16100</td>
<td>12617</td>
<td>14438</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trade and other payables</td>
<td>17800</td>
<td>18872</td>
<td>18629</td>
<td>17038</td>
<td>17437</td>
<td>16072</td>
<td>14555</td>
<td>13584</td>
<td>12592</td>
<td>13033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accruals and deferred income</td>
<td>4075</td>
<td>4904</td>
<td>3855</td>
<td>3673</td>
<td>3759</td>
<td>3185</td>
<td>3229</td>
<td>2909</td>
<td>2798</td>
<td>2779</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provisions</td>
<td>780</td>
<td>863</td>
<td>620</td>
<td>564</td>
<td>695</td>
<td>523</td>
<td>441</td>
<td>576</td>
<td>601</td>
<td>643</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Derivative liabilities</td>
<td>448</td>
<td>507</td>
<td>1068</td>
<td>1021</td>
<td>757</td>
<td>381</td>
<td>428</td>
<td>646</td>
<td>456</td>
<td>1127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current income tax liabilities</td>
<td>2731</td>
<td>1170</td>
<td>1221</td>
<td>1124</td>
<td>1264</td>
<td>1276</td>
<td>1631</td>
<td>1417</td>
<td>1079</td>
<td>1173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liabilities directly associated with assets held for sale</td>
<td>2502</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>272</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2890</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total current liabilities (A)</td>
<td>40030</td>
<td>36054</td>
<td>37517</td>
<td>33321</td>
<td>32895</td>
<td>32917</td>
<td>38753</td>
<td>35232</td>
<td>30146</td>
<td>36083</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Working Capital (A-B)*</td>
<td>-2027</td>
<td>-3864</td>
<td>-5475</td>
<td>-3887</td>
<td>1066</td>
<td>-2851</td>
<td>-3548</td>
<td>-1908</td>
<td>8851</td>
<td>3787</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*WORKING CAPITAL = CURRENT ASSETS – CURRENT LIABILITIES
Table 2 - Gauging the Working Capital Management Efficiency

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Current Ratio</strong></td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Receivable Days (A)</strong></td>
<td>38.41</td>
<td>40.95</td>
<td>40.08</td>
<td>40.93</td>
<td>39.04</td>
<td>38.1</td>
<td>45.97</td>
<td>55.39</td>
<td>42.55</td>
<td>46.73</td>
<td>42.815</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Inventory Days (B)</strong></td>
<td>72.04</td>
<td>70.94</td>
<td>68.35</td>
<td>70.69</td>
<td>67.37</td>
<td>66.41</td>
<td>69.31</td>
<td>71.05</td>
<td>63.83</td>
<td>71.7</td>
<td>69.169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Payable Days (C)</strong></td>
<td>102.77</td>
<td>128.08</td>
<td>147.27</td>
<td>140.66</td>
<td>128.6</td>
<td>115.8</td>
<td>105.73</td>
<td>108.26</td>
<td>104.45</td>
<td>107.66</td>
<td>118.928</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Return on Capital Employed (%)</strong></td>
<td>11.51</td>
<td>8.67</td>
<td>10.43</td>
<td>10.88</td>
<td>16.94</td>
<td>12.13</td>
<td>13.09</td>
<td>12.19</td>
<td>45.08</td>
<td>15.08</td>
<td>15.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source – www.morningstar.com

**CURRENT RATIO** = CURRENT ASSETS / CURRENT LIABILITIES

**RECEIVABLE DAYS** = ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE/TOTAL CREDIT SALES X Days in a year

**INVENTORY DAYS** = AVERAGE INVENTORY/COST OF GOODS SOLD X Days in a year

**PAYABLE DAYS** = ACCOUNTS PAYABLES/TOTAL CREDIT PURCHASES X Days in a year

**CASH CONVERSION CYCLE** = RECEIVABLE DAYS+ INVENTORY DAYS - PAYABLE DAYS

**RETURN ON CAPITAL EMPLOYED** = EBIT/CAPITAL EMPLOYED X 100

Graph.1 - Working Capital of Nestlé Global from 2009-2018
Table 1 clearly indicates the negative working capital trend of Nestlé Global. Out of the 10 years being analyzed from 2009-2018, seven out of the ten years reflect a negative working capital situation as can be seen in Graph 1.

Table 2 analysis helps us investigate whether the negative working capital is an absolute conclusion of poor returns and managerial inefficiency.
In the case of Nestlé Global, the story seems contradictory. Even though the working capital is negative and the current ratio is far from the ideal ratio 2:1 indicating low liquidity levels the return on capital employed remains rather more than satisfactory averaging to 15.6%. Graph 3 represents the return on capital returns from 2009-2018.

The receivable days indicate the time taken by debtors to pay their dues which for Nestlé Global averages to approximately 43 days. The inventory days indicate the time taken by inventory to convert into sales which averages to approximately 69 days for Nestlé Global.

The payable days reflect the credit period that a firm gets before it is required to pay its own dues to its creditors. This in case of Nestlé Global approximates to 119 days.

This means that it takes a total of 112 days (43 receivables days + 69 inventory days) for Nestlé Global to convert its receivables and inventory into cash but it has to pay its creditors and other payables in 119 days. This indicates that receivables and inventory themselves can finance the firms short term debts signaling the firm is not required to hold too many current assets for its day to day operations.

The inventory and receivable turnover is so high that the cash from it can directly be channelized to pay the creditors of the firm. The firm thus earns cash much before it has to give cash from its own operations.

No doubt the average cash conversion cycle of Nestlé Global is approximately 7 days (negative). A negative cash conversion cycle signals that revenue is being generated from customers before it has to pay to its suppliers indicating high efficiency levels. It can be seen in Graph 2 that from 2009-2018 the cash conversion cycles of Nestlé Global have remained mostly negative.

**OBSERVATIONS AND CONCLUSION**

Negative working capital of Nestlé Global indicates that the firm is in fact self-financing. Negative working capital indicates high level of efficiency of the firm. The fact that receivables and inventory would be realized even before the firm’s own liability to pay arises explains the self-funding concept.

The ability to self-finance directly means less dependence on outside financing sources and thus lowers costs of financing. Considering Nestlé Global is one of the top FMCG Companies globally it would also use its potential of fast moving products to push its financing costs further down by negotiating low interest rates on grounds of low risk and stable investment. This bargaining power is reflected in the prolonged payable days period.

It can be concluded that while analyzing a company in the FMCG sector an investor should not get put off by the low liquidity levels and negative working capital but in fact investigate to
appreciate its efficiency. Negative working capital can thus signal positive performance of a firm. This cannot be extended to all sectors but in a cash oriented FMCG firm this might be the right conclusion to draw in. Investors thus need to broaden their analysis of a company as a firm with negative working capital may in fact be a good investment bet for them despite failing the liquidity test.

Thus low liquidity may not be a direct signal of inefficiency or poor returns of firm. The example of Nestlé Global presented in this paper is the testimony to this fact. The study thus concludes that lack of liquidity does not necessarily imply poor profitability. A firm with low liquidity levels like in the case of Nestlé Global can in fact generate high returns and at the same time be rather a major player in the industry with a good public image.
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