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#### Abstract

In this paper we prove some common fixed point results for three mappings in vector bmetric space. Our results extend and improve some well-known results in literature. We also give an example to justify our results.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

Common fixed point theorems for three mappings in metric space were studied by Latpate et $\mathrm{al}^{1}$ Similar results can be seen in Abbas et $\mathrm{al}^{2}$, Arshad et $^{3}{ }^{3}$,

Jungck ${ }^{4}$ and Rahimi et $\mathrm{al}^{5}$.Further ,these results were extended for vector metric space by Altun and Cevik ${ }^{6}$.We extend some of the results of fixed point for three mappings defined on vector b-metric space which is aRiesz space valued metric space. Vector b-metric space was defined by Petre $^{7}$ in 2014 by defining b-metric on vector metric space. We recall the basic concepts and definitions introduced by Altun andCevik ${ }^{8}$ and Petre ${ }^{7}$.
We follow notions and terminology by AliprantisandBorder ${ }^{9}$, Luxemburg andZannen ${ }^{10}$ for Riesz spaces.

A partially ordered set $(\mathrm{E}, \leq)$ is a lattice if each pair of elements has a supremum and infimum.A real linear space E with an order relation $\leq$ on E which is compatible with the algebraic structure of E is called an ordered linear space.Riesz space is an ordered vector space and at the same time a lattice also. Let E be a Riesz space with the positive cone
$E_{+}=\{x \in E: x \geq 0\}$. For an element $x \in E$, the absolute value $|x|$, the positive part $x^{+}$, the negative part $\mathrm{x}^{-}$are defined as $|\mathrm{x}|=\mathrm{x} v(-\mathrm{x}), \mathrm{x}^{+}=\mathrm{x} \vee 0, \mathrm{x}^{-}=(-\mathrm{x}) \vee 0$ respectively.

If every non-empty subset of E which is bounded above has a supremum, then E is called Dedekind complete or order complete. The Riesz space E is said to be Archimedean if $\frac{1}{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{a} \downarrow 0$ holds for every $a \in E_{+}$.

Let E be a Riesz space. A sequence $\left(\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ is said to be order convergent or o -convergent to b if there is a sequence $\left(a_{n}\right)$ in E satisfying $a_{n} \downarrow 0$ and $\left|b_{n}-b\right| \leq a_{n}$ for all $n$, written as $b_{n} \xrightarrow{0} b$ or o.limb $b_{n}$ $=\mathrm{b}$.

A sequence $\left(b_{n}\right)$ is said to be order Cauchy (o-Cauchy) if there exists a sequence $\left(a_{n}\right)$ in $E$ such that $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{n}} \downarrow 0$ and $\left|\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{n}}-\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{p}}\right| \leq \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{n}}$ holds for all n and p .
A Riesz space E is said to be o-Cauchy complete if every o-Cauchy sequence is o-convergent.
DEFINITION 1.1[10] :Let $X$ be a non-empty set and $E$ be a Riesz space. Then function $d: X$ $\times \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{E}$ is said to be a vector metric (or $\mathrm{E}-$ metric) if it satisfies the following properties:
(a) $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})=\mathrm{o}$ if and only if $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{y}$
(b) $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}) \leq \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{z})+\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z})$ for all $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z} \in \mathrm{X}$.

Also the triple ( $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{E}$ ) is said to be a vector metric space. Vector metric space is generalization of metric space. For arbitrary elements $x, y, z, w$ of a vector metric space, the following statements are satisfied :
(i) $0 \leq \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})$
(ii) $d(x, y)=d(y, x)$
(iii) $|\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{z})-\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z})| \leq \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})$
(iv) $|d(x, z)-d(y, w)| \leq d(x, y)+d(z, w)$

A sequence $\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ in a vector metric space (X, d, E) vectorial converges (E-converges) to some
$x \in E$, written as $X_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { d.E }} x$ if there is a sequence $\left(a_{n}\right)$ in E satisfying $a_{n} \downarrow 0$ and $d\left(x_{n}, x\right) \leq a_{n}$ for all $n$.
A sequence $\left(x_{n}\right)$ is called E-cauchy sequence whenever there exists a sequence $\left(a_{n}\right)$ in $E$ such that $a_{n} \downarrow$ 0 and $\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{p}}\right) \leq \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{n}}$ holds for all n and p .
A vector metric space $X$ is called $E$-complete if each $E$-cauchy sequence in $X, E$ converges to a limit in X .

For more detailed discussion regarding vector metric spaces we refer to ${ }^{6,8}$.
When $\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{R}$, the concepts of vectorial convergence and metric convergence, E-cauchy sequence and Cauchy sequence in metric are same.

When also $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{E}$ and d is the absolute valued vector metric on X , then the concept of vectorial convergence and convergence in order are the same.
DEFINITION 1.2:Let $X$ be a non-empty set and let $s \geq 1$ be a given real number. A function $d$ : $X \times X \rightarrow R^{+}$is called a b-metric provided that, for all $x, y, z \in X$
(i) $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})=0$ if and only if $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{y}$
(ii) $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})=\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{x})$
(iii) $d(x, z) \leq s[d(y, x)+d(y, z)]$

A pair ( $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{d}$ ) is called a b-metric space. It is clear from definition that b-metric space is an extension of usual metric space.
Several authors have investigated fixed point theorems on b-metric spaces, one can see
11, 12.
Petre ${ }^{7}$ defined E-b-metric space or vector b-metric space as follows:
DEFINITION 1.3 [7] :Let X be a nonempty set and $\mathrm{s} \geq 1$, A functional $\mathrm{d}: \mathrm{X} \times \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{E}_{+}$is called an E-b-metric if for any $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z} \in \mathrm{X}$, the following conditions are satisfied :
(a) $d(x, y)=0$ if and only if $x=y$
(b) $\quad \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})=\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{x})$
(c) $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{z}) \leq \mathrm{s}[\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})+\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z})]$

The triple ( $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{E}$ ) is called E-b-metric space.
EXAMPLE 1.4: Let $d:[0,1] \times[0,1] \rightarrow R^{2}$ defined byd $(x, y)=\left(\alpha|x-y|^{2}, \beta|x-y|^{2}\right)$ then $\left(X, d, R^{2}\right)$ is E-bmetric space where $\alpha, \beta>0$.
DEFINITION 1.5[13]: Let $A$ and $B$ be self maps of a set $X$ if $y=A x=B x$ for some $x \in X$, then $y$ is said to be a point of coincidence and $x$ is said to be a coincidence point of A and B. A pair of maps A and B is called weakly compatible pair if they commute at coincidence points ${ }^{8,11}$.

LEMMA 1.6 [13]:If E is a Riesz space and $\mathrm{a} \leq$ ka where $\mathrm{a} \in \mathrm{E}_{+}$and $\mathrm{k} \in[0,1)$ then $\mathrm{a}=0$.
LEMMA 1.7 [14]: Let P and Q are weakly compatible self-maps on a set Y . If P and Q have a unique point of coincidence $\mathrm{c}=\mathrm{Pc}=\mathrm{Qc}$, then c is the unique common fixed point of P and Q .
2. MAIN RESULTS :In this section, we prove some fixed point theorems for three mappings in vector $b$-metric space. Kir and Kiziltunc ${ }^{12}$ have investigated common fixed point theorems for weakly compatible pairs for b-metric space, whereas these results on vector metric spaces have been investigated by Rad and Altun ${ }^{15}$
THEOREM 2.1 :Let X be E-b-metric space with E-Archimedean. Suppose the mappings P,Q,R : $\mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ satisfy the following conditions :
(i) for all $x, y \in X, d(P x, Q y) \leq \operatorname{tM}_{x, y}(P, Q, R)$
where $\mathrm{t}<\frac{1}{s(s+1)}$ and
$M_{x, y}(P, Q, R) \in\{d(R x, R y), d(P x, R x), d(Q y, R y), d(P x, R y), d(Q y, R x)$
(ii) $\quad \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{X}) \cup \mathrm{Q}(\mathrm{X}) \subseteq \mathrm{R}(\mathrm{X})$
(iii) $\quad R(X)$ is an E-complete subspace of $X$.

Then $\{P, R\}$ and $\{Q, R\}$ have a unique point of coincidence in $X$. Moreover, if $\{P, R\}$ and $\{Q, R\}$ are weakly compatible, then $\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{Q}$ and R have a unique fixed point in X .

PROOF : Let $x_{0}$ be arbitrary point of $X$. Since $P(X) \subset R(X)$ there exists $x_{1} \in X$ such that $P\left(x_{0}\right)=$ $\mathrm{Rx}_{1}=\mathrm{y}_{1}$.
Since $Q(X) \subset R(X)$ there exists $x_{2} \in X$ such that $Q\left(x_{1}\right)=R x_{2}=y_{2}$.
Continue in this manner, then there exists $x_{2 n+1} \in X$ such that $P\left(x_{2 n}\right)=R x_{2 n+1}=y_{2 n+1}$. there exists $\mathrm{x}_{2 \mathrm{n}+2} \in \mathrm{X}$ such that $\mathrm{Q}\left(\mathrm{x}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1}\right)=\mathrm{Rx}_{2 \mathrm{n}+2}=\mathrm{y}_{2 \mathrm{n}+2}$, for $\mathrm{n}=0,1,2,3 \ldots$.

Firstly, show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
d\left(y_{2 n+1}, y_{2 n+2}\right) \leq \beta d\left(y_{2 n}, y_{2 n+1}\right) \text { for all } n \text { where } \beta<1 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (1), we have :
$d\left(y_{2 n+1}, y_{2 n+2}\right)=d\left(P_{2 n}, Q_{x_{2 n+1}}\right) \leq t M_{X_{2 n}, X_{2 n+1}}(P, Q, R)$ for $n=0,1,2,3 \ldots \ldots$

Since $M_{x_{2 n}, x_{2 n+1}}(P, Q, R) \in\left\{d\left(\operatorname{Rx}_{2 n}, R x_{2 n+1}\right), d\left(P_{2 n}, R x_{2 n}\right), d\left(Q x_{2 n+1}, R x_{2 n+1}\right), d\left(P x_{2 n}, R x_{2 n+1}\right)\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{Qx}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1}, \mathrm{Rx}_{2 \mathrm{n}}\right)\right\}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\left\{d\left(y_{2 n}, y_{2 n+1}\right), d\left(y_{2 n+1}, y_{2 n}\right), d\left(y_{2 n+2}, y_{2 n+1}\right), d\left(y_{2 n+1}, y_{2 n+1}\right), d\left(y_{2 n+2}, y_{2 n}\right)\right\} \\
& =\left\{d\left(y_{2 n}, y_{2 n+1}\right), d\left(y_{2 n+1}, y_{2 n+2}\right), d\left(y_{2 n}, y_{2 n+2}\right),\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

If $M_{X_{2 n}, X_{2 n+1}}(P, Q, R)=d\left(y_{2 n}, y_{2 n+1}\right)$, then clearly (3) holds.
If $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{X}_{2 \mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{X}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1}}(\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{Q}, \mathrm{R})=\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{y}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1}, \mathrm{y}_{2 \mathrm{n}+2}\right)$, then according to lemma 1.6
$\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{y}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1}, \mathrm{y}_{2 \mathrm{n}+2}\right)=0$, and clearly (3) holds.
Finally, suppose that $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{x}_{2 n}, \mathrm{x}_{2 n+1}}(\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{Q}, \mathrm{R})=\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{y}_{2 \mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{y}_{2 \mathrm{n}+2}\right)$,
Then, we have
$d\left(y_{2 n+1}, y_{2 n+2}\right) \leq \operatorname{td}\left(y_{2 n}, y_{2 n+2}\right) \leq \operatorname{ts}\left[d\left(y_{2 n}, y_{2 n+1}\right)+d\left(y_{2 n+1}, y_{2 n+2}\right)\right]$
(1-ts) $d\left(y_{2 n+1}, y_{2 n+2}\right) \leq \operatorname{tsd}\left(y_{2 n}, y_{2 n+1}\right)$
$\leq\left(\frac{t s}{1-t s}\right)\left[\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{y}_{2 \mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{y}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1}\right)\right]$
$=\beta \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{y}_{2 \mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{y}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1}\right)$, where $\beta=\left(\frac{t s}{1-t s}\right)$
Thus $\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right) \leq \beta^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{y}_{0}, \mathrm{y}_{1}\right)$, where $\beta \in\left\{t, \frac{t s}{1-t s}\right\}$

Therefore for all n and p ,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{p}}\right) \leq \\
& \leq \mathrm{sd}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right)+\mathrm{s}^{2} \mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}+1}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}+2}\right)+\mathrm{s}^{3} \mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}+2}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}+3}\right)+\ldots \ldots+\mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{p}-1}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{p}}\right) \\
& \quad= \\
& \quad s \beta^{n}\left(\frac{1-(s \beta)^{p}}{1-s \beta}\right) \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{y}_{0}, \mathrm{y}_{1}\right)+\mathrm{s}^{2} \beta^{\mathrm{n}+1} \mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{y}_{0}, \mathrm{y}_{1}\right)+\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots+\mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{p}} \beta^{\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{p}-1} \mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{y}_{0}, \mathrm{y}_{1}\right) \\
& \quad \leq\left(\frac{s \beta^{n}}{1-s \beta}\right) \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{y}_{0}, \mathrm{y}_{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since E is Archimedean, then $\left(y_{n}\right)$ is E-Cauchy sequence. Suppose that $R(X)$ is E-complete, there exists a $p \in R(X)$ such that
$\mathrm{Rx}_{2 \mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{y}_{2 \mathrm{n}} \xrightarrow{\text { d.E. }} \mathrm{p}$ and $\mathrm{Rx}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1}=\mathrm{y}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1} \xrightarrow{\text { d.E. }} \mathrm{p}$
Hence there exists a sequence $\left(c_{n}\right)$ in $E$ such that $c_{n} \downarrow 0$ and $d\left(R x_{2 n}, p\right) \leq c_{n}$,
$d\left(R_{2 n+1}, p\right) \leq c_{n+1}$. Since $p \in R(X)$, there exists $k \in X$ such that $R k=p$. Now we prove that $Q k=p$
For this, consider
$\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{Qk}) \leq \operatorname{sd}\left(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{Px}_{2 \mathrm{n}}\right)+\operatorname{sd}\left(\mathrm{Px}_{2 \mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{Qk}\right)$
$\leq \mathrm{sc}_{\mathrm{n}+1}+\mathrm{stM}_{\mathrm{x}_{2 \mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{k}}(\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{Q}, \mathrm{R})$
where $M_{x_{2 n}, k}(P, Q, R) \in\left\{d\left(\mathrm{Rx}_{2 n}, R_{k}\right), d\left(\mathrm{Px}_{2 n}, R x_{2 n}\right), d(Q k, R k), d\left(\mathrm{Px}_{2 \mathrm{n}}, R k\right), d\left(Q k, R x_{2 n}\right)\right\}$
$=\left\{\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{y}_{2 \mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{p}\right), \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{y}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1}, \mathrm{y}_{2 \mathrm{n}}\right), \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{Qk}, \mathrm{p}), \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{y}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1}, \mathrm{p}\right), \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{Qk}, \mathrm{y}_{2 \mathrm{n}}\right)\right\}$ for all n .
There are five possibilities:
Case 1: $d(p, Q k) \leq \mathrm{sc}_{\mathrm{n}+1}+\mathrm{st} \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{y}_{2 \mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{p}\right) \leq \mathrm{sc}_{\mathrm{n}+1}+\mathrm{stc}_{\mathrm{n}} \leq \mathrm{s}(\mathrm{t}+1) \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n}}$.
Case 2: $d(p, Q k) \leq \operatorname{sc}_{n+1}+\operatorname{st} d\left(y_{2 n+1}, y_{2 n}\right) \leq \operatorname{sc}_{n+1}+\operatorname{st}\left[s d\left(y_{2 n+1}, p\right)+s d\left(p, y_{2 n}\right)\right]$

$$
\leq \mathrm{sc}_{\mathrm{n}+1}+\mathrm{st}\left[\mathrm{sc}_{\mathrm{n}+1}+\mathrm{sc}_{\mathrm{n}}\right] \leq \mathrm{s}(2 \mathrm{st}+1) \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n}}
$$

Case 3: $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{Qk}) \leq \mathrm{sc}_{\mathrm{n}+1}+\operatorname{std}(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{Qk})$

$$
(1-\mathrm{st}) \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{Qk}) \leq \mathrm{sc}_{\mathrm{n}+1}
$$

$\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{Qk}) \leq\left(\frac{\mathrm{s}}{1-\mathrm{st}}\right) \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n}+1}$
Case 4: $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{Qk}) \leq \mathrm{sc}_{\mathrm{n}+1}+\mathrm{st} \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{y}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1}, \mathrm{p}\right)$

$$
\leq \mathrm{sc}_{\mathrm{n}+1}+\operatorname{stc}_{\mathrm{n}+1} \leq \mathrm{s}(\mathrm{t}+1) \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n}} .
$$

Case $5: \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{Qk}) \leq \mathrm{sc}_{\mathrm{n}+1}+\operatorname{std}\left(\mathrm{Qk}, \mathrm{y}_{2 \mathrm{n}}\right)$

$$
\leq \mathrm{sc}_{\mathrm{n}+1}+\mathrm{st}\left[\operatorname{sd}(\mathrm{Qk}, \mathrm{p})+\operatorname{sd}\left(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{y}_{2 \mathrm{n}}\right)\right]
$$

$\left(1-s^{2} t\right) d(p, Q k) \leq s c_{n+1}+s^{2} t d\left(p, y_{2 n}\right)$
$\left(1-s^{2} t\right) d(p, Q k) \leq \mathrm{sc}_{n+1}+\mathrm{s}^{2} \mathrm{tc}_{\mathrm{n}}$
$\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{Qk}) \leq\left(\frac{\mathrm{s}(1+\mathrm{st})}{1-\mathrm{s}^{2} \mathrm{t}}\right) \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n}}$
Since the infimum of the sequences on the right hand side are zero, then $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{Qk})=0$, that is $\mathrm{Qk}=\mathrm{p}$. Therefore $\mathrm{Qk}=\mathrm{Rk}=\mathrm{p}$, i.e. p is a point of coincidence of mappings $\mathrm{Q}, \mathrm{R}$ and k is a coincidence point of mappings Q and R .
Now we show that $\mathrm{Pk}=\mathrm{p}$, consider
$\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{Pk}, \mathrm{p}) \leq \operatorname{sd}\left(\mathrm{Pk}, \mathrm{Qx}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1}\right)+\operatorname{sd}\left(\mathrm{Qx}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1}, \mathrm{p}\right) \leq \mathrm{sc}_{\mathrm{n}+1}+\mathrm{stM}_{\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}}, 2 \mathrm{n}+1}(\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{Q}, \mathrm{R})$
where $M_{x_{k}, 2 n+1}(P, Q, R) \in\left\{d\left(R k, R x_{2 n+1}\right), d(P k, R k), d\left(Q x_{2 n+1}, R x_{2 n+1}\right), d\left(P k, R x_{2 n+1}\right)\right.$,
$\left.\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{Qx}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1}, \mathrm{Rk}\right)\right\}$
$=\left\{d\left(p, y_{2 n+1}\right), d(P k, p), d\left(y_{2 n+2}, y_{2 n+1}\right), d\left(P k, y_{2 n+1}\right), d\left(Q x_{2 n+1}, p\right)\right\}$ for all $n$.
There are five possibilities:
Case 1: $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{Pk}, \mathrm{p}) \leq \mathrm{sc}_{\mathrm{n}+1}+\operatorname{std}\left(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{y}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1}\right) \leq \mathrm{sc}_{\mathrm{n}+1}+\operatorname{stc}_{\mathrm{n}+1} \leq \mathrm{s}(\mathrm{t}+1) \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n}}$.
Case 2: $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{Pk}, \mathrm{p}) \leq \mathrm{sc}_{\mathrm{n}+1}+\operatorname{std}(\mathrm{Pk}, \mathrm{p})$
(1-st) $d(P k, p) \leq \mathrm{sc}_{\mathrm{n}+1}$
$\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{Pk}, \mathrm{p}) \leq\left(\frac{\mathrm{s}}{1-\mathrm{st}}\right) \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n}+1}$

Case 3: $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{Pk}, \mathrm{p}) \leq \operatorname{sc}_{\mathrm{n}+1}+\operatorname{std}\left(\mathrm{y}_{2 \mathrm{n}+2}, \mathrm{y}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1}\right) \leq \operatorname{sc}_{\mathrm{n}+1}+\operatorname{st}\left[\operatorname{sd}\left(\mathrm{y}_{2 \mathrm{n}+2}, \mathrm{p}\right)+\operatorname{sd}\left(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{y}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1},\right)\right]$

$$
\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{Pk}, \mathrm{p}) \leq \mathrm{sc}_{\mathrm{n}+1}+\mathrm{st}\left[\mathrm{sc}_{\mathrm{n}+2}+\mathrm{sc}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right]
$$

$\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{Pk}, \mathrm{p}) \leq \mathrm{sc}_{\mathrm{n}+1}+\mathrm{s}^{2} \mathrm{tsc} \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n}+1} \leq \mathrm{s}(\mathrm{st}+1) \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n}+1}$.
Case 4: $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{Pk}, \mathrm{p}) \leq \mathrm{sc}_{\mathrm{n}+1}+\mathrm{std}\left(\mathrm{Pk}, \mathrm{y}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1}\right)$

$$
\leq \mathrm{sc}_{\mathrm{n}+1}+\mathrm{st}\left[\mathrm{sd}(\mathrm{Pk}, \mathrm{p})+\mathrm{sd}\left(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{y}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1}\right)\right] \leq \mathrm{sc}_{\mathrm{n}+1}+\mathrm{s}^{2} \mathrm{td}(\mathrm{Pk}, \mathrm{p})+\mathrm{s}^{2} \mathrm{tc}_{\mathrm{n}+1}
$$

$\left(1-s^{2} t\right) d(P k, p) \leq s(1+s t) c_{n+1}$.
$\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{Pk}, \mathrm{p}) \leq\left(\frac{\mathrm{s}(1+\mathrm{st})}{\left(1-\mathrm{s}^{2} \mathrm{t}\right)}\right) \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n}+1}$
Case 5: $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{Pk}, \mathrm{p}) \leq \mathrm{sc}_{\mathrm{n}+1}+\operatorname{std}\left(\mathrm{Qx}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1}, \mathrm{p}\right)$

$$
\leq \mathrm{sc}_{\mathrm{n}+1}+\operatorname{stc}_{\mathrm{n}+1} \leq \mathrm{s}(1+\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n}+1}
$$

Since the infimum of thesequences on the right hand side are zero, then $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{Pk}, \mathrm{p})=0$, that is $\mathrm{Pk}=\mathrm{p}$. Therefore $P k=R k=p$, i.e. $p$ is a point of coincidence of mappings $P, R$ and $k$ is a coincidence point of mappings $P$ and $R$.
Now it remains to prove that $p$ is a unique point of coincidence of pairs $\{P, R\}$ and $\{Q, R\}$.
Let $\mathrm{p}^{\prime}$ be also a point of coincidence of these three mappings, then $\mathrm{Pk}^{\prime}=\mathrm{Qk}^{\prime}=\mathrm{Rk}^{\prime}=\mathrm{p}^{\prime}$,
for $k^{\prime} \in X$, we have,
$\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{p}^{\prime}\right)=\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{Pk}, \mathrm{Qk}^{\prime}\right) \leq \mathrm{tM}_{\mathrm{k}, \mathrm{k}^{\prime}}(\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{Q}, \mathrm{R})$
where $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{k}, \mathrm{k}^{\prime}}(\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{Q}, \mathrm{R}) \in\left\{\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{Rk}, \mathrm{Rk}^{\prime}\right), \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{Pk}, \mathrm{Rk}), \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{Qk}^{\prime}, \mathrm{Rk} \mathrm{k}^{\prime}\right), \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{Pk}, \mathrm{Rk} \mathrm{k}^{\prime}\right), \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{Qk} \mathrm{k}^{\prime}, \mathrm{Rk}\right)\right\}$
$=\left\{0, \mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{p}^{\prime}\right)\right\}$
If $\{P, R\}$ and $\{Q, R\}$ are weakly compatible, then $p$ is a unique common fixed point of $P, Q$ and $R$.
COROLLARY 2.2 :Let $X$ be E-b-metric space with E Archimedean. Suppose the mappingsP,R :
$X \rightarrow X$ satisfy the following conditions:
(i) for all $x, y \in X, d(P x, P y) \leq t M_{x, y}(P, R)$
where $\mathrm{t}<\frac{1}{s(s+1)}$
$M_{x, y}(P, R) \in\{d(R x, R y), d(P x, R x), d(P y, R y), d(P x, R y), d(P y, R x)\}$
(ii) $\quad \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{X}) \subseteq \mathrm{R}(\mathrm{X})$
(iii) $\quad R(X)$ is $E$-complete subspace of $X$.

Then $\{P, R\}$ have a unique point of coincidence in $X$. Moreover, if $\{P, R\}$ are weakly compatible, then they have a unique fixed point in X .
EXAMPLIE 2.3 : Let $\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{R}^{2}$ with coordinatewise ordering defined by $\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{y}_{1}\right) \leq\left(\mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{y}_{2}\right)$ if and only if $\mathrm{x}_{1} \leq \mathrm{x}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{y}_{1} \leq \mathrm{y}_{2}, \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{R}$ and $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})=\left(|\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{y}|^{2}, \mathrm{c}|\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{y}|^{2}\right)$ with $\mathrm{c}>0$.
Define the mappings $P x=x^{2}+3, R x=2 x^{2}$.

For all $x, y \in X$, we have
$\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{Px}, \mathrm{Py})=\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~d}(\mathrm{Rx}, \mathrm{Ry}) \leq \mathrm{tM}_{\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}}(\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{R})$
with $\quad \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}}(\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{R})=\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{Rx}, \operatorname{Ry})$ for $\mathrm{k} \in\left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$.
Moreover, $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{X})=[3, \infty) \subset[0, \infty)=\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{X})$.
THEOREM 2.4 :Let $X$ be E-b-metric space with E Archimedean. Suppose the mappings P,Q,R :
$X \rightarrow X$ satisfy the following conditions :
(i) for all $x, y \in X, d(P x, Q y) \leq t M_{x, y}(P, Q, R)$
where $\mathrm{t}<\frac{2}{s(s+2)}$ and
$M_{x, y}(P, Q, R) \in\left\{\frac{1}{2}[d(R x, R y)+d(P x, R x)], \frac{1}{2}[d(R x, R y)+d(P x, R y)], \frac{1}{2}[d(R x, R y)+d(Q y, R x)]\right.$,
$\frac{1}{2}[\mathrm{~d}(R x, R y)+\mathrm{d}(Q y, R y)], \frac{1}{2}[\mathrm{~d}(P x, R x)+\mathrm{d}(Q y, R y)], \frac{1}{2}[d(P x, R y)+$
d(Qy, Rx) $]\}$
(ii) $\quad \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{X}) \cup \mathrm{Q}(\mathrm{X}) \subseteq \mathrm{R}(\mathrm{X})$
(iii) $R(X)$ is an $E$-complete subspace of $X$.

Then $\{P, R\}$ and $\{Q, R\}$ have a unique common point of coincidence in $X$. Moreover, if $\{P, R\}$ and $\{Q, R\}$ are weakly compatible, then they have a unique fixed point in $X$.
PROOF :We define the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ as in proof of theorem 2.1
Firstly, show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
d\left(y_{2 n+1}, y_{2 n+2}\right) \leq \beta d\left(y_{2 n}, y_{2 n+1}\right) \text { for all } n . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (6), we have :
$d\left(y_{2 n+1}, y_{2 n+2}\right)=d\left(P_{2 n}, Q_{2 n+1}\right) \leq t M_{X_{2 n}, x_{2 n+1}}(P, Q, R)$ for $n=0,1,2,3 \ldots \ldots$
Since
$M_{X_{2 n}, x_{2 n+1}}(P, Q, R) \in\left\{\frac{1}{2}\left[d\left(\operatorname{Rx}_{2 n}, R x_{2 n+1}\right)+d\left(P_{2 n}, R x_{2 n}\right)\right], \frac{1}{2}\left[d\left(\operatorname{Rx}_{2 n}, R x_{2 n+1}\right)+d\left(P_{2 n}, R x_{2 n+1}\right)\right], \frac{1}{2}\right.$
$\left[d\left(\operatorname{Rx}_{2 n}, R x_{2 n+1}\right)+d\left(Q x_{2 n+1}, R x_{2 n}\right)\right], \frac{1}{2}\left[d\left(\operatorname{Rx}_{2 n}, R x_{2 n+1}\right)+d\left(Q x_{2 n+1}, R x_{2 n+1}\right)\right]$,
$\left.\frac{1}{2}\left[d\left(P_{x_{2 n}}, R x_{2 n}\right)+d\left(Q x_{2 n+1}, R x_{2 n+1}\right)\right], \frac{1}{2}\left[d\left(P_{2 n}, R x_{2 n+1}\right)+d\left(Q x_{2 n+1}, R x_{2 n}\right)\right]\right\}$
$=\left\{\frac{1}{2}\left[d\left(y_{2 n}, y_{2 n+1}\right)+d\left(y_{2 n+1}, y_{2 n}\right)\right], \frac{1}{2}\left[d\left(y_{2 n}, y_{2 n+1}\right)+d\left(y_{2 n+1}, y_{2 n+1}\right)\right], \frac{1}{2}\left[d\left(y_{2 n}, y_{2 n+1}\right)+d\left(y_{2 n+2}\right.\right.\right.$, $\left.\left.\mathrm{y}_{2 \mathrm{n}}\right)\right], \frac{1}{2}\left[\mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{y}_{2 \mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{y}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1}\right)+\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{y}_{2 \mathrm{n}+2}, \mathrm{y}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1}\right)\right], \frac{1}{2}\left[\mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{y}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1}, \mathrm{y}_{2 \mathrm{n}}\right)+\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{y}_{2 \mathrm{n}+2}, \mathrm{y}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1}\right)\right]$,
$\left.\frac{1}{2}\left[\mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{y}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1}, \mathrm{y}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1}\right)+\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{y}_{2 \mathrm{n}+2}, \mathrm{y}_{2 \mathrm{n}}\right)\right]\right\}$
$=\left\{d\left(y_{2 n}, y_{2 n+1}\right), \frac{1}{2}\left[d\left(y_{2 n}, y_{2 n+1}\right)\right], \frac{1}{2}\left[d\left(y_{2 n}, y_{2 n+1}\right)+d\left(y_{2 n+2}, y_{2 n}\right)\right], \frac{1}{2}\left[d\left(y_{2 n}, y_{2 n+1}\right)+\right.\right.$
$\left.\left.\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{y}_{2 \mathrm{n}+2}, \mathrm{y}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1}\right)\right], \frac{1}{2}\left[\mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{y}_{2 \mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{y}_{2 \mathrm{n}+2}\right)\right]\right\}$
If $M_{X_{2 n}, x_{2 n+1}}(P, Q, R)=d\left(y_{2 n}, y_{2 n+1}\right)$ or $\frac{1}{2}\left[d\left(y_{2 n}, y_{2 n+1}\right)\right]$ then clearly (8) holds.
If $M_{X_{2 n}, x_{2 n+1}}(P, Q, R)=\frac{1}{2}\left[d\left(y_{2 n}, y_{2 n+1}\right)+d\left(y_{2 n+2}, y_{2 n}\right)\right]$
Then $\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{y}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1}, \mathrm{y}_{2 \mathrm{n}+2}\right) \leq \frac{\mathrm{t}}{2}\left[\mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{y}_{2 \mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{y}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1}\right)\right]+\frac{\mathrm{t}}{2}\left[\mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{y}_{2 \mathrm{n}+2}, \mathrm{y}_{2 \mathrm{n}}\right)\right]$

$$
\leq \frac{\mathrm{t}}{2}\left[\mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{y}_{2 \mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{y}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1}\right)\right]+\frac{\mathrm{t}}{2}\left[\operatorname{sd}\left(\mathrm{y}_{2 \mathrm{n}+2}, \mathrm{y}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1}\right)+\operatorname{sd}\left(\mathrm{y}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1}, \mathrm{y}_{2 \mathrm{n}}\right)\right]
$$

$\left(1-\frac{\mathrm{st}}{2}\right) \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{y}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1}, \mathrm{y}_{2 \mathrm{n}+2}\right) \leq(1+s) \frac{t}{2}\left[\mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{y}_{2 \mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{y}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1}\right)\right]$
$\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{y}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1}, \mathrm{y}_{2 \mathrm{n}+2}\right) \leq \frac{t}{2}\left(\frac{1+s}{1-\frac{s t}{2}}\right)\left[\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{y}_{2 \mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{y}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1}\right)\right] \leq \beta^{\prime}\left[\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{y}_{2 \mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{y}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1}\right)\right], \quad$ where $\beta^{\prime}=\frac{t}{2}\left(\frac{1+s}{1-\frac{s t}{2}}\right)$
If $M_{x_{2 n}, x_{2 n+1}}(P, Q, R)=\frac{1}{2}\left[d\left(y_{2 n}, y_{2 n+1}\right)+d\left(y_{2 n+2}, y_{2 n+1}\right)\right]$
Then $d\left(y_{2 n+1}, y_{2 n+2}\right) \leq \frac{t}{2}\left[d\left(y_{2 n}, y_{2 n+1}\right)\right]+\frac{t}{2}\left[d\left(y_{2 n+2}, y_{2 n+1}\right)\right]$
$\left(1-\frac{t}{2}\right) d\left(y_{2 n+1}, \mathrm{y}_{2 \mathrm{n}+2}\right) \leq \frac{\mathrm{t}}{2}\left[\mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{y}_{2 \mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{y}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1}\right)\right]$
$\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{y}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1}, \mathrm{y}_{2 \mathrm{n}+2}\right) \leq\left(\frac{\frac{t}{2}}{1-\frac{t}{2}}\right)\left[\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{y}_{2 \mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{y}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1}\right)\right] \leq \beta^{\prime \prime}\left[\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{y}_{2 \mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{y}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1}\right)\right], \quad$ where $\beta^{\prime \prime}=\left(\frac{\frac{t}{2}}{1-\frac{t}{2}}\right)$
If $M_{X_{2 n}, x_{2 n+1}}(P, Q, R)=\frac{1}{2}\left[d\left(y_{2 n}, y_{2 n+2}\right)\right]$
Then $d\left(y_{2 n+1}, y_{2 n+2}\right) \leq \frac{t}{2}\left[\operatorname{sd}\left(y_{2 n}, y_{2 n+1}\right)+\operatorname{sd}\left(y_{2 n+1}, y_{2 n+2}\right)\right]$
$\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{y}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1}, \mathrm{y}_{2 \mathrm{n}+2}\right) \leq\left(\frac{\frac{s t}{2}}{1-\frac{s t}{2}}\right)\left[\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{y}_{2 \mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{y}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1}\right)\right] \leq \beta^{\prime \prime \prime}\left[\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{y}_{2 \mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{y}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1}\right)\right], \quad$ where $\beta^{\prime \prime \prime}=\left(\frac{\frac{s t}{2}}{1-\frac{s t}{2}}\right)$.
Therefore $\quad d\left(y_{n}, y_{n+1}\right) \leq\left(\beta^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)^{n} d\left(y_{0}, y_{1}\right)$
By using (9), for all $n$ and $p$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{p}}\right) & \leq \mathrm{sd}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right)+\mathrm{s}^{2} \mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}+1,}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}+2}\right)+\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots+\mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{p}-1}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{p}}\right) \\
& \leq \mathrm{s} \quad\left(\beta^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)^{\mathrm{n}} \quad \mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{y}_{0}, \mathrm{y}_{1}\right)+\mathrm{s}^{2} \quad\left(\beta^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)^{\mathrm{n}+1} \quad \mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{y}_{0}, \mathrm{y}_{1}\right) \quad+\ldots \ldots \ldots+\mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{p}}\left(\beta^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)^{\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{p}-1} \quad \mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{y}_{0}, \mathrm{y}_{1}\right) \\
& =s\left(\beta^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)^{n}\left(\frac{1-\left(s \beta^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)^{p}}{1-\left(s \beta^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)}\right) \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{y}_{0}, \mathrm{y}_{1}\right) \leq\left(\frac{s\left(\beta^{\prime \prime}\right)^{n}}{1-s \beta^{\prime \prime \prime}}\right) \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{y}_{0}, \mathrm{y}_{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since E is Archimedean, then $\left(y_{n}\right)$ is E-Cauchy sequence. Suppose that $R(X)$ is E-complete, there exists a $q \in R(X)$ such that
$\mathrm{Rx}_{2 \mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{y}_{2 \mathrm{n}} \xrightarrow{\text { d.E. }} \mathrm{q}$ and $\mathrm{Rx}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1}=\mathrm{y}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1} \xrightarrow{\text { d.E. }} \mathrm{q}$
Hence there exists a sequence ( $c_{n}$ ) in $E$ such that $c_{n} \downarrow 0$ and $d\left(\operatorname{Rx}_{2 n}, q\right) \leq c_{n}$,
$d\left(\mathrm{Rx}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1}, \mathrm{q}\right) \leq \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n}+1}$. Since $\mathrm{q} \in \mathrm{R}(\mathrm{X})$, there exists $\mathrm{k} \in \mathrm{X}$ such that $\mathrm{Rk}=\mathrm{q}$. Now we prove that $\mathrm{Qk}=\mathrm{q}$
For this, consider
$\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{Qk}) \leq \operatorname{sd}\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{Px}_{2 \mathrm{n}}\right)+\operatorname{sd}\left(\mathrm{Px}_{2 \mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{Qk}\right) \leq \mathrm{sc}_{\mathrm{n}+1}+\mathrm{stM}_{\mathrm{X}_{2 \mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{k}}(\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{Q}, \mathrm{R})$
where $M_{x_{2 n}, k}(P, Q, R) \in\left\{\frac{1}{2}\left[d\left(\operatorname{Rx}_{2 n}, R k\right)+d\left(P_{2 n}, R x_{2 n}\right)\right], \frac{1}{2}\left[d\left(R x_{2 n}, R k\right)+d\left(P x_{2 n}, R k\right)\right]\right.$,
$\frac{1}{2}\left[\mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{Rx}_{2 \mathrm{n}}, R k\right)+\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{Qk}, R \mathrm{Rx}_{2 \mathrm{n}}\right)\right], \frac{1}{2}\left[\mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{Rx}_{2 \mathrm{n}}, R k\right)+\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{Qk}, R k)\right], \frac{1}{2}\left[\mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{Px}_{2 \mathrm{n}}, R \mathrm{Rx}_{2 \mathrm{n}}\right)+\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{Qk}, R k)\right], \frac{1}{2}$ $\left.\left[\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{Px}_{2 \mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{Rk}\right)+\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{Qk}, \mathrm{Rx}_{2 \mathrm{n}}\right)\right]\right\}$
$=\left\{\frac{1}{2}\left[d\left(y_{2 n}, q\right)+d\left(y_{2 n+1}, y_{2 n}\right)\right], \frac{1}{2}\left[d\left(y_{2 n}, q\right)+d\left(y_{2 n+1}, q\right)\right], \frac{1}{2}\left[d\left(y_{2 n}, q\right)+d\left(Q k, y_{2 n}\right)\right]\right.$,
$\left.\frac{1}{2}\left[d\left(y_{2 n}, q\right)+d(Q k, q)\right], \frac{1}{2}\left[d\left(y_{2 n+1}, y_{2 n}\right)+d(Q k, q)\right], \frac{1}{2}\left[d\left(y_{2 n+1}, q\right)+d\left(Q k, y_{2 n}\right)\right]\right\}$
There are six possibilities:
Case 1: $d(q, Q k) \leq \operatorname{sc}_{n+1}+\frac{s t}{2}\left[d\left(y_{2 n}, q\right)+d\left(y_{2 n+1}, y_{2 n}\right)\right]$

$$
\leq \operatorname{sc}_{\mathrm{n}+1}+\frac{\mathrm{st}}{2} \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n}}+\frac{\mathrm{st}}{2}\left[\operatorname{sd}\left(\mathrm{y}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1}, \mathrm{q}\right)+\mathrm{sd}\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{y}_{2 \mathrm{n}}\right)\right]
$$

$\leq \mathrm{sc}_{\mathrm{n}+1}+\frac{\mathrm{st}_{2}}{2} \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n}}+\frac{s^{2} t}{2} \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n}+1}+\frac{s^{2} t}{2} \mathrm{sc}_{\mathrm{n}}$
$\leq \mathrm{s}\left(1+\frac{t}{2}+\mathrm{st}\right) \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n}}$

Case 2: $d(q, Q k) \leq \operatorname{sc}_{n+1}+\frac{s t}{2}\left[d\left(y_{2 n}, q\right)+d\left(y_{2 n+1}, q\right)\right]$
$\leq \mathrm{sc}_{\mathrm{n}+1}+\frac{\mathrm{st}}{2} \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n}}+\frac{\mathrm{st}}{2} \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n}+1} \leq \mathrm{s}(\mathrm{t}+1) \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n}}$.
Case 3: $d(q, Q k) \leq \operatorname{sc}_{n+1}+\frac{s t}{2}\left[d\left(y_{2 n}, q\right)+d\left(Q k, y_{2 n}\right)\right]$

$$
\leq \mathrm{sc}_{\mathrm{n}+1}+\frac{\mathrm{st}}{2} \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n}}+\frac{\mathrm{st}}{2}\left[\mathrm{sd}(\mathrm{Qk}, \mathrm{q})+\mathrm{sd}\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{y}_{2 \mathrm{n}}\right)\right]
$$

$\left(1-\frac{s^{2} t}{2}\right) \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{Qk}) \leq \mathrm{sc}_{\mathrm{n}+1}+\frac{\mathrm{st}}{2} \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n}}+\frac{s^{2} t}{2} \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n}}$
$\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{Qk}) \leq \mathrm{s}\left(\frac{1+\frac{t}{2}+\frac{s t}{2}}{1-\frac{s^{2} t}{2}}\right) \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n}}$

Case4: $d(q, Q k) \leq \operatorname{sc}_{n+1}+\frac{s t}{2}\left[d\left(y_{2 n}, q\right)+d(Q k, q)\right]$

$$
\left(1-\frac{s t}{2}\right) \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{Qk}) \leq \mathrm{sc}_{\mathrm{n}+1}+\frac{\mathrm{st}}{2} \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n}}
$$

$$
\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{Qk}) \leq s\left(\frac{1+\frac{t}{2}}{1-\frac{s t}{2}}\right) \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n}}
$$

Case 5: $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{Qk}) \leq \mathrm{sc}_{\mathrm{n}+1}+\frac{\mathrm{st}}{2}\left[\mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{y}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1}, \mathrm{y}_{2 \mathrm{n}}\right)+\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{Qk}, \mathrm{q})\right]$

$$
\left(1-\frac{s t}{2}\right) \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{Qk}) \leq \mathrm{sc}_{\mathrm{n}+1}+\frac{s^{2} t}{2} \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n}+1}+\frac{s^{2} t}{2} \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n}}
$$

$\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{Qk}) \leq s\left(\frac{1+s t}{1-\frac{s t}{2}}\right) \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n}}$

Case 6: $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{Qk}) \leq \mathrm{sc}_{\mathrm{n}+1}+\frac{\mathrm{st}}{2}\left[\mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{y}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1}, \mathrm{q}\right)+\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{Qk}, \mathrm{y}_{2 \mathrm{n}}\right)\right]$

$$
\leq \mathrm{sc}_{\mathrm{n}+1}+\frac{\mathrm{st}}{2} \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n}+1}+\frac{\mathrm{st}}{2}\left[\mathrm{sd}(\mathrm{Qk}, \mathrm{q})+\mathrm{sd}\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{y}_{2 \mathrm{n}}\right)\right]
$$

$$
\left(1-\frac{s^{2} t}{2}\right) d(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{Qk}) \leq \mathrm{sc}_{\mathrm{n}+1}+\frac{\mathrm{st}}{2} \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n}+1}+\frac{s^{2} t}{2} \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n}}
$$

$\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{Qk}) \leq \mathrm{s}\left(\frac{1+\frac{t}{2}+\frac{s t}{2}}{1-\frac{s^{2} t}{2}}\right) \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n}}$,

Since the infimum of the sequences on the right hand side are zero, therefore $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{Qk})=0$, that is $\mathrm{Qk}=\mathrm{q}$. Therefore $\mathrm{Qk}=\mathrm{Rk}=\mathrm{q}$ i.e. q is a point of coincidence of mappings $\mathrm{Q}, \mathrm{R}$ and k is a coincidence point of mappings Q and R .

Now we show that $\mathrm{Pk}=\mathrm{q}$,
Consider, $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{Pk}, \mathrm{q}) \leq \mathrm{sd}\left(\mathrm{Pk}, \mathrm{Qx}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1}\right)+\mathrm{sd}\left(\mathrm{Qx}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1}, \mathrm{q}\right) \leq \mathrm{sc}_{\mathrm{n}+1}+\mathrm{stM}_{\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}}, 2 \mathrm{n}+1}(\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{Q}, \mathrm{R})$
where $M_{x_{k}, 2 n+1}(P, Q, R) \in\left\{\frac{1}{2}\left[d\left(R k, R x_{2 n+1}\right)+d(P k, R k)\right], \frac{1}{2}\left[d\left(R k, R x_{2 n+1}\right)+d\left(P k, R x_{2 n+1}\right)\right], \frac{1}{2}\right.$
$\left[d\left(R k, R x_{2 n+1}\right)+d\left(Q x_{2 n+1}, R k\right)\right], \frac{1}{2}\left[d\left(R k, R x_{2 n+1}\right)+d\left(Q x_{2 n+1}, R x_{2 n+1}\right)\right]$,
$\left.\frac{1}{2}\left[\mathrm{~d}(\mathrm{Pk}, \mathrm{Rk})+\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{Qx}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1}, \mathrm{Rx}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1}\right)\right], \frac{1}{2}\left[\mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{Pk}, \mathrm{Rx}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1}\right)+\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{Qx}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1}, \mathrm{Rk}\right)\right]\right\}$
$=\left\{\frac{1}{2}\left[d\left(q, y_{2 n+1}\right)+d(P k, q)\right], \frac{1}{2}\left[d\left(q, y_{2 n+1}\right)+d\left(P k, y_{2 n+1}\right)\right], \frac{1}{2}\left[d\left(q, y_{2 n+1}\right)+d\left(y_{2 n+2}, q\right)\right]\right.$,
$\left.\frac{1}{2}\left[d\left(q, y_{2 n+2}\right)+d\left(y_{2 n+2}, y_{2 n+1}\right)\right], \frac{1}{2}\left[d(P k, q)+d\left(y_{2 n+2}, y_{2 n+1}\right)\right], \frac{1}{2}\left[d\left(P k, y_{2 n+1}\right)+d\left(y_{2 n+2}, q\right)\right]\right\}$

There are six possibilities:
Case 1: $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{Pk}, \mathrm{q}) \leq \mathrm{sc}_{\mathrm{n}+1}+\frac{\mathrm{st}}{2}\left[\mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{y}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1}\right)+\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{Pk}, \mathrm{q})\right]$
$\left(1-\frac{s t}{2}\right) \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{Pk}, \mathrm{q}) \leq \mathrm{sc}_{\mathrm{n}+1}+\frac{\mathrm{st}}{2} \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n}+1}$
$\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{Pk}, \mathrm{q}) \leq s\left(\frac{1+\frac{t}{2}}{\left(1-\frac{s t}{2}\right)}\right) \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n}+1}$
Case 2: $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{Pk}, \mathrm{q}) \leq \mathrm{sc}_{\mathrm{n}+1}+\frac{\mathrm{st}}{2}\left[\mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{y}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1}\right)+\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{Pk}, \mathrm{y}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1}\right)\right]$
$\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{Pk}, \mathrm{q}) \leq \mathrm{sc}_{\mathrm{n}+1}+\frac{\mathrm{st}}{2} \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n}+1}+\frac{\mathrm{st}}{2}\left[\mathrm{sd}(\mathrm{Pk}, \mathrm{q})+\mathrm{sd}\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{y}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1}\right)\right]$
$\left(1-\frac{s^{2} t}{2}\right) d(P k, q) \leq \mathrm{sc}_{n+1}+\frac{s t}{2} \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n}+1}+\frac{s^{2} t}{2} \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n}+1}$
$\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{Pk}, \mathrm{q}) \leq \mathrm{s}\left(\frac{1+\frac{t}{2}+\frac{s t}{2}}{1-\frac{s^{2} t}{2}}\right) \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n}}$
Case 3: $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{Pk}, \mathrm{q}) \leq \mathrm{sc}_{\mathrm{n}+1}+\frac{\mathrm{st}}{2}\left[\mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{y}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1}\right)+\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{y}_{2 \mathrm{n}+2, \mathrm{q}} \mathrm{q}\right)\right] \leq \mathrm{sc}_{\mathrm{n}+1}+\frac{\mathrm{st}}{2} \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n}+1}+\frac{\mathrm{st}}{2} \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n}+1}$

$$
\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{Pk}, \mathrm{q}) \leq \mathrm{s}(1+\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n}+1}
$$

Case 4: $d(P k, q) \leq \operatorname{sc}_{n+1}+\frac{s t}{2}\left[d\left(q, y_{2 n+1}\right)+d\left(y_{2 n+2}, y_{2 n+1}\right)\right]$

$$
\leq \mathrm{sc}_{n+1}+\frac{\mathrm{st}}{2} \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n}+1}+\frac{\mathrm{st}}{2}\left[\operatorname{sd}\left(y_{2 n+2}, q\right)+\operatorname{sd}\left(y_{2 n+1}, q\right)\right]
$$

$\leq \mathrm{sc}_{\mathrm{n}+1}+\frac{\mathrm{st}}{2} \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n}+1}+\frac{s^{2} t}{2} \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n}+1}+\frac{s^{2} t}{2} \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n}+1}$
$\leq \mathrm{s}\left(1+\mathrm{st}+\frac{t}{2}\right) \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n}+1}$
Case $5: d(P k, q) \leq \operatorname{sc}_{n+1}+\frac{s t}{2}\left[d(P k, q)+d\left(y_{2 n+2}, y_{2 n+1}\right)\right.$

$$
\leq \operatorname{sc}_{n+1}+\frac{\mathrm{st}}{2}[(\mathrm{Pk}, \mathrm{q})]+\frac{\mathrm{st}}{2}\left[\operatorname{sd}\left(\mathrm{y}_{2 n+2}, \mathrm{q}\right)+\operatorname{sd}\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{y}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1}\right)\right]
$$

$\left(1-\frac{s t}{2}\right) \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{Pk}, \mathrm{q}) \leq \mathrm{sc}_{\mathrm{n}+1}+\frac{s^{2} t}{2} \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n}+1}+\frac{s^{2} t}{2} \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n}+1}$
$\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{Pk}, \mathrm{q}) \leq s\left(\frac{1+s t}{1-\frac{s t}{2}}\right) \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n}+1}$

Case 6: $d(P k, q) \leq \operatorname{sc}_{n+1}+\frac{s t}{2}\left[d\left(P k, y_{2 n+1}\right)+d\left(y_{2 n+2}, q\right)\right]$
$d(P k, q) \leq \operatorname{sc}_{n+1}+\frac{s t}{2}\left[s d(P k, q)+\operatorname{sd}\left(q, y_{2 n+1}\right)\right]+\frac{\text { st }}{2} c_{n+1}$

$$
\left(1-\frac{s^{2} t}{2}\right) \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{Pk}, \mathrm{q}) \leq \mathrm{s}\left(\frac{1+\frac{t}{2}+\frac{s t}{2}}{1-\frac{s^{2} t}{2}}\right) \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n}+1}
$$

Since the infimum of the sequences on the right hand side are zero, therefore $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{Pk}, \mathrm{q})=0$, that is Pk $=\mathrm{q}$. Therefore $\mathrm{Pk}=\mathrm{Rk}=\mathrm{q}$, i.e. n is a point of coincidence of mappings P and R . Thus k is a coincidence point of mappings P and R .
Now it remains to prove that $q$ is a unique point of coincidence of pairs $\{P, R\}$ and $\{Q, R\}$.
Let $\mathrm{q}^{\prime}$ be also a point of coincidence of these three mappings, then $\mathrm{Pk}^{\prime}=\mathrm{Qk}^{\prime}=\mathrm{Tk}^{\prime}=\mathrm{q}^{\prime}$,
for $\mathrm{k}^{\prime} \in \mathrm{X}$, we have,
$\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{q}^{\prime}\right)=\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{Pk}, \mathrm{Qk}^{\prime}\right) \leq \mathrm{tM}_{\mathrm{k}, \mathrm{k}^{\prime}}(\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{Q}, \mathrm{R})$
where $\quad M_{k, k^{\prime}}(P, Q, R) \in\left\{\frac{1}{2}\left[d\left(R k, R k^{\prime}\right)+d(P k, R k)\right], \frac{1}{2}\left[d\left(R k, R k^{\prime}\right)+d\left(P k, R k^{\prime}\right)\right]\right.$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2}\left[\mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{Rk}, R \mathrm{k}^{\prime}\right)+\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{Qk}^{\prime}, \mathrm{Rk}\right)\right], \frac{1}{2}\left[\mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{Rk}, \mathrm{Rk}^{\prime}\right)+\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{Qk}^{\prime}, R \mathrm{Rk}^{\prime}\right)\right], \frac{1}{2}\left[\mathrm{~d}(\mathrm{Pk}, \mathrm{Rk})+\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{Qk}^{\prime}, R k^{\prime}\right)\right] \\
& \left.\frac{1}{2}\left[\mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{Pk}, R k^{\prime}\right)+\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{Qk}^{\prime}, \mathrm{Rk}\right)\right]\right\} \\
& \quad=\left\{0, \mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{q}^{\prime}\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $d\left(q, q^{\prime}\right)=0$ i.e. $q=q^{\prime}$
If $\{P, R\}$ and $\{Q, R\}$ are weakly compatible, then $q$ is a unique common fixed point of $P, Q$ and $R$.

## 3.RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In 2016, Rad and Altun ${ }^{15}$ proved some common fixed point results for three mappings on vector metric spaces. They proved the following results:

THEOREM 3.1 :Let $X$ be a vector metric space with E-Archimedean. Suppose the mappings $\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{g}, \mathrm{T}: \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ satisfy the following conditions :
(i) for all $x, y \in X, d(f x, g y) \leq k u_{x, y}(f, g, T)$
where $\mathrm{k} \in(0,1)$ is a constant and
$u_{x, y}(f, g, T) \in\left\{d(T x, T y), d(f x, T x), d(g y, T y), \frac{1}{2}[d(f x, T y)+d(g y, T x)](\right.$
(ii) $\quad f(X) \cup g(X) \subseteq T(X)$
(iii) one of $f(X), g(X)$ or $T(X)$ isaE-complete subspace of $X$.

Then $\{\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{T}\}$ and $\{\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{T}\}$ have a unique point of coincidence in X . Moreover, if $\{\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{T}\}$ and $\{\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{T}\}$ are weakly compatible, then $\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{g}$ and T have a unique common fixed point in X where $\mathrm{k} \in(0,1]$.
$u_{x, y}(f, g) \in\{d(f x, g y), d(f x, g x), d(f y, g y), d(f x, g y), d(f y, g x)\}$
(ii) $f(X) \subseteq T(X)$
(iii) one of $f(X)$ or $T(X)$ isaE-complete subspace of $X$.

Then $\{f, T\}$ have a unique point of coincidence in $X$. Moreover, if $\{f, T\}$ are weakly compatible, then f and T have a unique common fixed point in X .

In 2017, Latpate ${ }^{1}$ proved the results for three mappings on complete metric spaces. He proved the following result:

Let (X, d) be a complete Metric space and Let A be a nonempty closed subset of X.
Let $\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{Q}: \mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{A}$ be such that
$\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{x}}, \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{y}}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2}\left[\mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{x}}, \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{y}}\right)+\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{y}}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{x}}\right)+\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{x}}, \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{y}}\right)\right]-\psi\left[\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{x}}, \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{y}}\right)+\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{y}}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{x}}\right)\right]$
For any $(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}) \in \mathrm{X} \times \mathrm{X}$, where a function $\psi:[0, \infty)^{2} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is continuous and $\psi(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})=0$ iff $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{y}=$ 0 and $\mathrm{R}: \mathrm{A} \rightarrow$ Xwhich satisfies the following condition.
(i) $\mathrm{PA} \subseteq \mathrm{RA}$ and $\mathrm{QA} \subseteq \mathrm{RA}$
(ii) The pair of mappings ( $\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{R}$ ) and $(\mathrm{Q}, \mathrm{R})$ are weakly compatible.
(iii) $R(A)$ is closed subset of $X$.

Then $P, R$ and $Q$ have unique common fixed point.
Motivated by their results, we have proved similar results for three mappings on E-b-metric spaces.

Further, these results can be investigated for four and six mappings on E-b-metric space.
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