

Research article

Available online www.ijsrr.org

# International Journal of Scientific Research and Reviews

## Some common fixed point theorems for three mappings in Vector bmetric spaces

# <sup>1</sup>Mamta karma and Kumari Sarita

<sup>1,2</sup>Department of Mathematics Indira Gandhi University, Meerpur, 122502, (Rewari) Haryana, India

#### **ABSTRACT**

In this paper we prove some common fixed point results for three mappings in vector bmetric space. Our results extend and improve some well-known results in literature. We also give an example to justify our results.

**KEYWORDS**: b-metric space, contraction mapping theorem, vector b-metric space, Rieszspace, weakly compatible.

### \*Corresponding author

#### Dr MamtaKamra

Associate Professor& Chairperson

Department of Mathematics

Indira Gandhi University, Meerpur (Rewari)-122502

Haryana, India

Email: Mkhaneja15@gmail.com

Mob. No: 9416882322

ISSN: 2279-0543

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

Common fixed point theorems for three mappings in metric space were studied by Latpate et al<sup>1</sup>Similar results can be seen in Abbas et al<sup>2</sup>, Arshad et al<sup>3</sup>,

Jungck<sup>4</sup> and Rahimi et al<sup>5</sup>. Further ,these results were extended for vector metric space by Altun and Cevik<sup>6</sup>. We extend some of the results of fixed point for three mappings defined on vector b-metric space which is aRiesz space valued metric space. Vector b-metric space was defined by Petre<sup>7</sup> in 2014 by defining b-metric on vector metric space. We recall the basic concepts and definitions introduced by Altun and Cevik<sup>8</sup> and Petre<sup>7</sup>.

We follow notions and terminology by AliprantisandBorder <sup>9</sup>, Luxemburg andZannen<sup>10</sup> for Riesz spaces.

A partially ordered set  $(E, \le)$  is a lattice if each pair of elements has a supremum and infimum. A real linear space E with an order relation  $\le$  on E which is compatible with the algebraic structure of E is called an ordered linear space. Riesz space is an ordered vector space and at the same time a lattice also. Let E be a Riesz space with the positive cone

 $E_+ = \{x \in E : x \ge 0\}$ . For an element  $x \in E$ , the absolute value |x|, the positive part  $x^+$ , the negative part  $x^-$  are defined as  $|x| = x \ v(-x)$ ,  $x^+ = x \lor 0$ ,  $x^- = (-x) \lor 0$  respectively.

If every non–empty subset of E which is bounded above has a supremum, then E is called Dedekind complete or order complete. The Riesz space E is said to be Archimedean if  $\frac{1}{n}a\downarrow 0$  holds for every  $a\in E_+$ .

A sequence  $(b_n)$  is said to be order Cauchy (o-Cauchy) if there exists a sequence  $(a_n)$  in E such that  $a_n \downarrow 0$  and  $|b_n - b_{n+p}| \le a_n$  holds for all n and p.

A Riesz space E is said to be o-Cauchy complete if every o-Cauchy sequence is o-convergent.

**DEFINITION 1.1[10]** :Let X be a non-empty set and E be a Riesz space. Then function  $d: X \times X \rightarrow E$  is said to be a vector metric (or E-metric) if it satisfies the following properties:

- (a) d(x, y) = 0 if and only if x = y
- (b)  $d(x, y) \le d(x, z) + d(y, z)$  for all  $x, y, z \in X$ .

Also the triple (X, d, E) is said to be a vector metric space. Vector metric space is generalization of metric space. For arbitrary elements x, y, z, w of a vector metric space, the following statements are satisfied:

(i) 
$$0 \le d(x, y)$$
 (ii)  $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$ 

(iii) 
$$|d(x, z) - d(y, z)| \le d(x, y)$$

(iv) 
$$|d(x, z) - d(y, w)| \le d(x, y) + d(z, w)$$

A sequence  $(x_n)$  in a vector metric space (X, d, E) vectorial converges (E-converges) to some  $x \in E$ , written as  $x_n \xrightarrow{d.E} x$  if there is a sequence  $(a_n)$  in E satisfying  $a_n \downarrow 0$  and  $d(x_n, x) \leq a_n$  for all n.

A sequence  $(x_n)$  is called E-cauchy sequence whenever there exists a sequence  $(a_n)$  in E such that  $a_n \downarrow 0$  and  $d(x_n, x_{n+p}) \le a_n$  holds for all n and p.

A vector metric space X is called E-complete if each E-cauchy sequence in X, E converges to a limit in X.

For more detailed discussion regarding vector metric spaces we refer to <sup>6,8</sup>.

When E = R, the concepts of vectorial convergence and metric convergence, E-cauchy sequence and Cauchy sequence in metric are same.

When also X = E and d is the absolute valued vector metric on X, then the concept of vectorial convergence and convergence in order are the same.

**DEFINITION 1.2:**Let X be a non–empty set and let  $s \ge 1$  be a given real number. A function d :

 $X \times X \rightarrow R^+$  is called a b-metric provided that, for all x, y, z  $\in X$ 

- (i) d(x, y) = 0 if and only if x = y
- (ii) d(x, y) = d(y, x)
- (iii)  $d(x, z) \le s[d(y, x) + d(y, z)]$

A pair (X, d) is called a b-metric space. It is clear from definition that b-metric space is an extension of usual metric space.

Several authors have investigated fixed point theorems on b-metric spaces, one can see 11, 12.

Petre<sup>7</sup> defined E-b-metric space or vector b-metric space as follows:

**DEFINITION 1.3 [7]**:Let X be a nonempty set and  $s \ge 1$ , A functional  $d: X \times X \to E_+$  is called an E-b-metric if for any  $x, y, z \in X$ , the following conditions are satisfied:

- (a) d(x, y) = 0 if and only if x = y
- (b) d(x, y) = d(y, x)
- (c)  $d(x, z) \le s[d(x, y) + d(y, z)]$

The triple (X, d, E) is called E-b-metric space.

**EXAMPLE 1.4:** Let d:  $[0,1] \times [0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  defined by  $d(x,y) = (\alpha |x-y|^2, \beta |x-y|^2)$  then  $(X,d,\mathbb{R}^2)$  is E-b-metric space where  $\alpha,\beta > 0$ .

**DEFINITION 1.5[13]:** Let A and B be self maps of a set X if y = Ax = Bx for some  $x \in X$ , then y is said to be a point of coincidence and x is said to be a coincidence point of A and B. A pair of maps A and B is called weakly compatible pair if they commute at coincidence points<sup>8, 11</sup>.

**LEMMA 1.6** [13]: If E is a Riesz space and  $a \le ka$  where  $a \in E_+$  and  $k \in [0,1)$  then a = 0.

**LEMMA 1.7 [14]:** Let P and Q are weakly compatible self-maps on a set Y. If P and Q have a unique point of coincidence c = Pc = Qc, then c is the unique common fixed point of P and Q.

**2. MAIN RESULTS**: In this section, we prove some fixed point theorems for three mappings in vector b-metric space. Kir and Kiziltunc<sup>12</sup>have investigated common fixed point theorems for weakly compatible pairs for b-metric space, whereas these results on vector metric spaces have been investigated by Rad and Altun<sup>15</sup>

**THEOREM 2.1**:Let X be E-b-metric space with E-Archimedean. Suppose the mappings  $P,Q,R: X \rightarrow X$  satisfy the following conditions:

(i) for all 
$$x, y \in X$$
,  $d(Px, Qy) \le tM_{x,y}(P, Q, R)$  (1)

where 
$$t < \frac{1}{s(s+1)}$$
 and

$$M_{x,y}(P,Q,R) \in \{d(Rx, Ry), d(Px, Rx), d(Qy, Ry), d(Px, Ry), d(Qy, Rx)\}$$
 (2)

- (ii)  $P(X) \cup Q(X) \subseteq R(X)$
- (iii) R(X) is an E-complete subspace of X.

Then  $\{P,R\}$  and  $\{Q,R\}$  have a unique point of coincidence in X. Moreover, if  $\{P,R\}$  and  $\{Q,R\}$  are weakly compatible, then P,Q and R have a unique fixed point in X.

**PROOF**: Let  $x_0$  be arbitrary point of X. Since  $P(X) \subset R(X)$  there exists  $x_1 \in X$  such that  $P(x_0) = Rx_1 = y_1$ .

Since  $Q(X) \subset R(X)$  there exists  $x_2 \in X$  such that  $Q(x_1) = Rx_2 = y_2$ .

Continue in this manner, then there exists  $x_{2n+1} \in X$  such that  $P(x_{2n}) = Rx_{2n+1} = y_{2n+1}$ .

there exists  $x_{2n+2} \in X$  such that  $Q(x_{2n+1}) = Rx_{2n+2} = y_{2n+2}$ , for n = 0,1,2,3...

Firstly, show that

$$d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}) \le \beta d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1})$$
 for all n where  $\beta < 1$  (3)

From (1), we have :

$$d(y_{2n+1},y_{2n+2}) \; = \; d(Px_{2n},\,Qx_{2n+1}) \; \leq \; t M_{x_{2n},x_{2n+1}}(P,\,Q,\,R) \; \text{for } n = 0,1,2,3\dots.$$

Since 
$$M_{x_{2n},x_{2n+1}}(P,Q,R) \in \{d(Rx_{2n}, Rx_{2n+1}), d(Px_{2n}, Rx_{2n}), d(Qx_{2n+1}, Rx_{2n+1}), d(Px_{2n}, Rx_{2n+1}), d(Qx_{2n+1}, Rx_{2n})\}$$

$$= \{d(y_{2n},\,y_{2n+1}),\,d(y_{2n+1},\,y_{2n}),\,d(y_{2n+2},\,y_{2n+1}),\,d(y_{2n+1},\,y_{2n+1}),\,d(y_{2n+2},\,y_{2n})\}$$

= {
$$d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}), d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}), d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+2}),$$
}

If  $M_{x_{2n},x_{2n+1}}(P,Q,R) = d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1})$ , then clearly (3) holds.

If 
$$M_{x_{2n},x_{2n+1}}(P,Q,R) = d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2})$$
, then according to lemma 1.6

 $d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}) = 0$ , and clearly (3) holds.

Finally, suppose that 
$$M_{x_{2n},x_{2n+1}}(P,Q,R) = d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+2}),$$

Then, we have

$$d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}) \le td(y_{2n}, y_{2n+2}) \le ts[d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) + d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2})]$$

$$(1\text{-ts})\ d(y_{2n+1},y_{2n+2}) \leq tsd(y_{2n},y_{2n+1})$$

$$\leq \left(\frac{ts}{1-ts}\right) \left[d(y_{2n},y_{2n+1})\right]$$

= 
$$\beta$$
 d(y<sub>2n</sub>,y<sub>2n+1</sub>), where  $\beta = \left(\frac{ts}{1-ts}\right)$ 

Thus 
$$d(y_n, y_{n+1}) \le \beta^n d(y_0, y_1)$$
,

where 
$$\beta \in \left\{ t, \frac{ts}{1 - ts} \right\}$$

Therefore for all n and p,

$$\begin{split} d(y_n, y_{n+p}) & \leq \ s \ d(y_n, y_{n+1}) + s^2 \ d(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}) + s^3 \ d(y_{n+2}, y_{n+3}) + \ldots + s^p d(y_{n+p-1}, y_{n+p}) \\ & \leq s \ \beta^n \ d(y_0, y_1) + s^2 \ \beta^{n+1} \ d(y_0, y_1) + \ldots + s^p \beta^{n+p-1} \ d(y_0, y_1) \\ & = s \beta^n \bigg( \frac{1 - (s\beta)^p}{1 - s\beta} \bigg) \ d(y_0, y_1) \\ & \leq \bigg( \frac{s\beta^n}{1 - s\beta} \bigg) \ d(y_0, y_1) \end{split}$$

Since E is Archimedean, then  $(y_n)$  is E-Cauchy sequence. Suppose that R(X) is E-complete, there exists a  $p \in R(X)$  such that

$$Rx_{2n} = y_{2n} \xrightarrow{\quad d.E. \quad} p \ \ \text{and} \ Rx_{2n+1} = y_{2n+1} \xrightarrow{\quad d.E. \quad} p$$

Hence there exists a sequence  $(c_n)$  in E such that  $c_n \downarrow 0$  and  $d(Rx_{2n},p) \leq c_n$ ,

 $d(Rx_{2n+1}, p) \le c_{n+1}$ . Since  $p \in R(X)$ , there exists  $k \in X$  such that Rk = p. Now we prove that Qk = p For this, consider

$$d(p,Qk) \le sd(p, Px_{2n}) + sd(Px_{2n},Qk)$$

$$\leq \ sc_{n+1} + stM_{x_{2n},k}(P,Q,R)$$

where 
$$M_{x_{2n},k}(P,Q,R) \in \{d(Rx_{2n},R_k),d(Px_{2n},Rx_{2n}),\,d(Qk,Rk),\,d(Px_{2n},Rk),\,d(Qk,Rx_{2n})\}$$

= 
$$\{d(y_{2n}, p), d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}), d(Qk, p), d(y_{2n+1}, p), d(Qk, y_{2n})\}$$
 for all n.

There are five possibilities:

Case 1: 
$$d(p, Qk) \le sc_{n+1} + st \ d(y_{2n}, p) \le sc_{n+1} + stc_n \le s(t+1) \ c_n$$
.

Case 2: 
$$d(p, Qk) \le sc_{n+1} + st \ d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}) \le sc_{n+1} + st \ [sd(y_{2n+1}, p) + sd(p, y_{2n})]$$

$$\leq sc_{n+1} + st[sc_{n+1} + sc_n] \leq s(2st+1) \; c_n.$$

Case 3: 
$$d(p, Qk) \le sc_{n+1} + std(p,Qk)$$

$$(1 - st)d(p, Qk) \le sc_{n+1}$$

$$d(p, Qk) \le \left(\frac{s}{1-st}\right)c_{n+1}$$

Case 4: 
$$d(p, Qk) \le sc_{n+1} + st d(y_{2n+1}, p)$$

$$\leq sc_{n+1} + stc_{n+1} \leq s(t+1) c_n.$$

Case 5 : 
$$d(p, Qk) \le sc_{n+1} + std(Qk, y_{2n})$$

$$\leq sc_{n+1} + st[sd(Qk,p) + sd(p,y_{2n})]$$

$$(1 - s^2t) d(p, Qk) \le sc_{n+1} + s^2td(p, y_{2n})$$

$$(1-s^2t) d(p, Qk) \le sc_{n+1} + s^2tc_n$$

$$d(p, Qk) \le \left(\frac{s(1+st)}{1-s^2t}\right)c_n$$

Since the infimum of the sequences on the right hand side are zero, then d(p,Qk) = 0, that is Qk = p. Therefore Qk = Rk = p, i.e. p is a point of coincidence of mappings Q, R and k is a coincidence point of mappings Q and R.

Now we show that Pk = p, consider

$$d(Pk,p) \leq sd(Pk,\,Qx_{2n+1}) + sd(Qx_{2n+1},p) \, \leq \, sc_{n+1} + st {\textstyle M_{X_{k},2n+1}}(P,Q,R)$$

where 
$$M_{x_{k},2n+1}(P,Q,R) \in \{d(Rk,Rx_{2n+1}),d(Pk,Rk),d(Qx_{2n+1},Rx_{2n+1}),d(Pk,Rx_{2n+1$$

$$d(Qx_{2n+1}, Rk)$$

= 
$$\{d(p,y_{2n+1}), d(Pk, p), d(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+1}), d(Pk,y_{2n+1}), d(Qx_{2n+1},p)\}$$
 for all n.

There are five possibilities:

Case 1: 
$$d(Pk, p) \le sc_{n+1} + std(p, y_{2n+1}) \le sc_{n+1} + stc_{n+1} \le s(t+1) c_n$$
.

Case 2: 
$$d(Pk,p) \le sc_{n+1} + std(Pk,p)$$

$$(1-st) d(Pk, p) \leq sc_{n+1}$$

$$d(Pk,p) \le \left(\frac{s}{1-st}\right)c_{n+1}$$

$$\begin{split} \text{Case 3: } d(Pk,p) &\leq \ sc_{n+1} + std(y_{2n+2}, \, y_{2n+1}) \ \leq \ sc_{n+1} + st[sd(y_{2n+2}, \, p) + sd(p, y_{2n+1})] \\ d(Pk,p) &\leq sc_{n+1} + st[sc_{n+2} + sc_{n+1}] \\ d(Pk,p) &\leq sc_{n+1} + s^2tsc_{n+1} \leq s(st+1) \ c_{n+1}. \\ \text{Case 4: } d(Pk, \, p) &\leq sc_{n+1} + std(Pk, y_{2n+1}) \end{split}$$

$$\leq sc_{n+1} + st[sd(Pk,p) + sd(p,y_{2n+1})] \leq sc_{n+1} + s^2td(Pk,p) + s^2tc_{n+1}$$

$$(1-s^2t)d(Pk, p) \le s(1+st) c_{n+1}.$$

$$d(Pk,p) \le \left(\frac{s(1+st)}{(1-s^2t)}\right)c_{n+1}$$

Case 5: 
$$d(Pk,p) \le sc_{n+1} + std(Qx_{2n+1}, p)$$

$$\leq sc_{n+1} + stc_{n+1} \leq s(1+t)c_{n+1}$$

Since the infimum of these quences on the right hand side are zero, then d(Pk,p) = 0, that is Pk = p. Therefore Pk = Rk = p, i.e. p is a point of coincidence of mappings P, R and k is a coincidence point of mappings P and R.

Now it remains to prove that p is a unique point of coincidence of pairs {P,R} and {Q,R}.

Let p' be also a point of coincidence of these three mappings, then Pk' = Qk' = Rk' = p',

for  $k' \in X$ , we have,

$$d(p, p') = d(Pk, Qk') \le tM_{k,k'}(P,Q,R)$$

where 
$$M_{k,k'}(P,Q,R) \in \{d(Rk, Rk'), d(Pk,Rk), d(Qk',Rk'), d(Pk, Rk'), d(Qk',Rk)\}$$

$$= \{0, d(p,p')\}$$

If {P,R} and {Q,R} are weakly compatible, then p is a unique common fixed point of P,Q and R.

**COROLLARY 2.2**: Let X be E-b-metric space with E Archimedean. Suppose the mappingsP,R:

 $X \rightarrow X$  satisfy the following conditions:

(i) for all 
$$x, y \in X$$
,  $d(Px, Py) \le tM_{x,y}(P, R)$  (4)

where 
$$t < \frac{1}{s(s+1)}$$

$$M_{x,y}(P,R) \in \{d(Rx,\,Ry),\,d(Px,\,Rx),\,d(Py,\,Ry),\,d(Px,\,Ry)\,\,,d(Py,\,Rx)\} \quad (5)$$

- (ii)  $P(X) \subseteq R(X)$
- (iii) R(X) is E-complete subspace of X.

Then  $\{P,R\}$  have a unique point of coincidence in X. Moreover, if  $\{P,R\}$  are weakly compatible, then they have a unique fixed point in X.

**EXAMPLIE 2.3** :Let  $E=R^2$  with coordinatewise ordering defined by  $(x_1,y_1) \le (x_2,y_2)$  if and only if  $x_1 \le x_2$  and  $y_1 \le y_2$ , X = R and  $d(x, y) = (|x-y|^2, c|x-y|^2)$  with c > 0.

Define the mappings  $Px = x^2 + 3$ ,  $Rx = 2x^2$ .

For all  $x, y \in X$ , we have

$$d(Px, Py) = \frac{1}{2} d(Rx, Ry) \le tM_{x,y}(P,R)$$

with 
$$M_{x,y}(P, R) = d(Rx, Ry)$$
 for  $k \in \left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right]$ .

Moreover,  $P(X) = [3, \infty) \subset [0, \infty) = R(X)$ .

**THEOREM 2.4**:Let X be E-b-metric space with E Archimedean. Suppose the mappings P,Q,R:

 $X \rightarrow X$  satisfy the following conditions:

(i) for all 
$$x, y \in X$$
,  $d(Px,Qy) \le tM_{x,y}(P,Q,R)$  (6)

where  $t < \frac{2}{s(s+2)}$  and

$$M_{x,y}(P,Q,R) \in \{\, \frac{1}{2} \, [d(Rx,\,Ry) + d(Px,\,Rx)], \, \frac{1}{2} \, [d(Rx,\,Ry) + d(Px,\,Ry)], \, \frac{1}{2} \, [d(Rx,\,Ry) + d(Qy,\,Rx)], \, \frac{1}{2} \, [d(Rx,\,Ry) + d(Q$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \left[ d(Rx, Ry) + d(Qy, Ry) \right], \ \frac{1}{2} \left[ d(Px, Rx) + d(Qy, Ry) \right], \ \frac{1}{2} \left[ d(Px, Ry) + d(Qy, Ry) \right]$$

$$d(Qy, Rx)]\} (7)$$

- (ii)  $P(X) \cup Q(X) \subset R(X)$
- (iii) R(X) is an E-complete subspace of X.

Then {P,R} and {Q,R} have a unique common point of coincidence in X. Moreover, if

{P,R} and {Q,R} are weakly compatible, then they have a unique fixed point in X.

**PROOF**: We define the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$  as in proof of theorem 2.1

Firstly, show that

$$d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}) \le \beta d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) \text{ for all } n.$$
(8)

From (6), we have:

$$d(y_{2n+1},\,y_{2n+2}) = d(Px_{2n},\,Qx_{2n+1}) \leq t M_{x_{2n},x_{2n+1}}(P,\,Q,\,R) \text{ for } n = 0,1,2,3\dots...$$

Since

$$\mathsf{M}_{x_{2n},x_{2n+1}}(P,\ Q,\ R) \in \{\, \frac{1}{2} \, [\, d(Rx_{2n},\ Rx_{2n+1}) + d(Px_{2n},\ Rx_{2n})\,], \\ \frac{1}{2} \, [\, d(Rx_{2n},\ Rx_{2n+1}) \, + \, d(Px_{2n},\ Rx_{2n+1})\,], \\ \frac{1}{2} \, [\, d(Rx_{2n},\ Rx_{2n+1}) \, + \, d(Px_{2n},\ Rx_{2n+1})\,], \\ \frac{1}{2} \, [\, d(Rx_{2n},\ Rx_{2n+1}) \, + \, d(Px_{2n},\ Rx_{2n+1}) \, + \, d(Px_{2n},\ Rx_{2n+1})\,], \\ \frac{1}{2} \, [\, d(Rx_{2n},\ Rx_{2n+1}) \, + \, d(Px_{2n},\ Rx_{2n+1}) \, + \, d(Px_{2$$

$$[d(Rx_{2n}, Rx_{2n+1}) + d(Qx_{2n+1}, Rx_{2n})], \frac{1}{2} [d(Rx_{2n}, Rx_{2n+1}) + d(Qx_{2n+1}, Rx_{2n+1})],$$

$$\frac{1}{2}\left[d(Px_{2n},\,Rx_{2n})\,+d(Qx_{2n+1},\,Rx_{2n+1})\right],\,\,\frac{1}{2}\left[d(Px_{2n},\,Rx_{2n+1})\,+\,d(Qx_{2n+1},\,Rx_{2n})\right]\}$$

$$= \{ \frac{1}{2} \left[ d(y_{2n}, \ y_{2n+1}) \ + \ d(y_{2n+1}, \ y_{2n}) \right], \ \frac{1}{2} \left[ d(y_{2n}, \ y_{2n+1}) \ + \ d(y_{2n+1}, \ y_{2n+1}) \right], \frac{1}{2} \left[ d(y_{2n}, \ y_{2n+1}) \ + \ d(y_{2n+2}, \ y_{2n+1}) \right], \frac{1}{2} \left[ d(y_{2n}, \ y_{2n+1}) \ + \ d(y_{2n+2}, \ y_{2n+1}) \right], \frac{1}{2} \left[ d(y_{2n}, \ y_{2n+1}) \ + \ d(y_{2n+2}, \ y_{2n+1}) \right], \frac{1}{2} \left[ d(y_{2n}, \ y_{2n+1}) \ + \ d(y_{2n+2}, \ y_{2n+1}) \right]$$

$$y_{2n})], \ \frac{1}{2} \left[d(y_{2n}, \, y_{2n+1}) + d(y_{2n+2}, \, y_{2n+1})\right], \ \frac{1}{2} \left[d(y_{2n+1}, \, y_{2n}) + d(y_{2n+2}, \, y_{2n+1})\right],$$

$$\frac{1}{2}\left[d(y_{2n+1},\,y_{2n+1})+d(y_{2n+2},\,y_{2n})\right]\}$$

$$=\{d(y_{2n},\,y_{2n+1}),\,\,\frac{1}{2}\,[d(y_{2n},\,y_{2n+1})],\,\,\frac{1}{2}\,[d(y_{2n},\,y_{2n+1})+d(y_{2n+2},\,y_{2n})],\,\,\frac{1}{2}\,[d(y_{2n},\,y_{2n+2})+d(y_{2n+2},\,y_{2n})+d(y_{2n+2},\,y_{2n})],\,\,\frac{1}{2}\,[d(y_{2n},\,y_{2n+2})+d(y_{2n+2},\,y_{2n})+d(y_{2n+2},\,y_{2n})]$$

$$d(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+1})], \frac{1}{2} [d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+2})]\}$$

$$\text{If } M_{x_{2n},x_{2n+1}}(P,Q,R) = d(y_{2n},\ y_{2n+1}) \text{ or } \frac{1}{2} \left[ d(y_{2n},\ y_{2n+1}) \right] \text{ then clearly (8) holds.}$$

$$\label{eq:mass_eq} \text{If } M_{x_{2n},x_{2n+1}}(P,Q,R) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ d(y_{2n},\,y_{2n+1}) + d(y_{2n+2},\,y_{2n}) \right]$$

Then 
$$d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}) \le \frac{t}{2} [d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1})] + \frac{t}{2} [d(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n})]$$

$$\leq \frac{t}{2} \left[ d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) \right] + \frac{t}{2} \left[ sd(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+1}) + sd(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}) \right]$$

$$\left(1 - \frac{st}{2}\right) d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}) \le \left(1 + s\right) \frac{t}{2} \left[d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1})\right]$$

$$d(y_{2n+1},\,y_{2n+2}) \leq \frac{t}{2} \left(\frac{1+s}{1-\frac{st}{2}}\right) [d(y_{2n},\,y_{2n+1})] \; \leq \; \beta' \; [d(y_{2n},\,y_{2n+1})], \quad \text{ where } \; \beta' = \frac{t}{2} \left(\frac{1+s}{1-\frac{st}{2}}\right)$$

If 
$$M_{x_{2n},x_{2n+1}}(P,Q,R) = \frac{1}{2} [d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) + d(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+1})]$$

Then 
$$d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}) \le \frac{t}{2} [d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1})] + \frac{t}{2} [d(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+1})]$$

$$\left(1 - \frac{t}{2}\right) d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}) \le \frac{t}{2} \left[d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1})\right]$$

$$d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}) \leq \left(\frac{\frac{t}{2}}{1 - \frac{t}{2}}\right) [d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1})] \leq \beta'' [d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1})], \quad \text{where } \beta'' = \left(\frac{\frac{t}{2}}{1 - \frac{t}{2}}\right)$$

If 
$$M_{x_{2n},x_{2n+1}}(P,Q,R) = \frac{1}{2} [d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+2})]$$

Then 
$$d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}) \le \frac{t}{2} \left[ sd(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) + sd(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}) \right]$$

$$d(y_{2n+1},\,y_{2n+2}) \leq \left(\frac{\frac{st}{2}}{1-\frac{st}{2}}\right) \left[d(y_{2n},\,y_{2n+1})\right] \leq \beta''' \left[d(y_{2n},\,y_{2n+1})\right], \quad \text{where } \beta''' = \left(\frac{\frac{st}{2}}{1-\frac{st}{2}}\right).$$

Therefore 
$$d(y_n, y_{n+1}) \le (\beta''')^n d(y_0, y_1)$$
 (9)

By using (9), for all n and p, we have

$$\begin{split} d(y_n, y_{n+p}) & \leq s \ d(y_n, y_{n+1}) + s^2 \ d(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}) + \dots + s^p d(y_{n+p-1}, y_{n+p}) \\ & \leq s \ (\beta^{\text{\tiny{III}}})^n \ d(y_0, y_1) \ + s^2 \ (\beta^{\text{\tiny{III}}})^{n+1} \ d(y_0, y_1) \ + \dots + s^{n+p} (\beta^{\text{\tiny{III}}})^{n+p-1} \ d(y_0, y_1) \\ & = s \Big(\beta^{\text{\tiny{III}}}\Big)^n \Bigg(\frac{1 - \Big(s\beta^{\text{\tiny{III}}}\Big)^p}{1 - \Big(s\beta^{\text{\tiny{III}}}\Big)} \Bigg) \ d(y_0, y_1) \leq \Bigg(\frac{s \Big(\beta^{\text{\tiny{III}}}\Big)^n}{1 - s\beta^{\text{\tiny{III}}}} \Bigg) d(y_0, y_1) \end{split}$$

Since E is Archimedean, then  $(y_n)$  is E-Cauchy sequence. Suppose that R(X) is E-complete, there exists a  $q \in R(X)$  such that

$$Rx_{2n} = y_{2n} \xrightarrow{\quad d \cdot E \cdot \quad} q \ \text{ and } Rx_{2n+1} = y_{2n+1} \xrightarrow{\quad d \cdot E \cdot \quad} q$$

 $d(Rx_{2n+1},q) \le c_{n+1}$ . Since  $q \in R(X)$ , there exists  $k \in X$  such that Rk = q. Now we prove that Qk = q For this, consider

$$d(q,Qk) \le sd(q, Px_{2n}) + sd(Px_{2n},Qk) \le sc_{n+1} + stM_{X_{2n},k}(P,Q,R)$$

$$\text{where } M_{x_{2n},k}(P,\,Q,\,R) \in \, \{\, \frac{1}{2} \, [\, d(Rx_{2n},\,Rk) \, + \, d(Px_{2n},\,Rx_{2n})], \\ \frac{1}{2} \, [\, d(Rx_{2n},\,Rk) \, + \, d(Px_{2n},\,Rk)], \\ \frac{1}{2} \, [\, d(Rx_{2n},\,Rk) \, + \, d(Rx_{2n},\,Rk)], \\ \frac{1}{2} \, [\, d(Rx_{2n},\,Rk) \, + \, d(Rx_{2n},\,Rk)], \\ \frac{1}{2} \, [\, d(Rx_{2n},\,Rk) \, + \, d(Rx_$$

$$\frac{1}{2}\left[d(Rx_{2n},\ Rk)\ +\ d(Qk,\ Rx_{2n})\right], \\ \frac{1}{2}\left[d(Rx_{2n},\ Rk)\ +\ d(Qk,\ Rk)\right], \\ \frac{1}{2}\left[d(Px_{2n},\ Rx_{2n})\ +\ d(Qk,\ Rx_{2n})\ +\ d(Qk,\ Rx_{2n})\right], \\ \frac{1}{2}\left[d(Px_{2n},\ Rx_{2n})\ +\ d(Qk,\ Rx_{2n})\ +\ d(Qk,\ Rx_{2n})\right], \\ \frac{1}{2}\left[d(Px_{2n},\ Rx_{2n})\ +\ d(Qk,\ Rx_{2n})\ +\ d(Qk,\ Rx_{2n})\right], \\ \frac{1}{2}\left[d(Px_{2n},\ Rx_{2n})\ +\ d(Qk,\ Rx_{2n})\ +\ d(Qk,\ Rx_{2n})\ +\ d(Qk,\ Rx_{2n})\right], \\ \frac{1}{2}\left[d(Px_{2n},\ Rx_{2n})\ +\ d(Qk,\ Rx_{2n})\ +\ d(Qk,\ Rx_{2n})\ +\ d(Qk,\ Rx_{2n})\right], \\ \frac{1}{2}\left[d(Px_{2n},\ Rx_{2n})\ +\ d(Qk,\ Rx_{2n})\ +\ d(Qk,\ Rx_{2n})\ +\ d(Qk,\ Rx_{2n})\ +\ d(Qk,\ Rx_{2n})\right],$$

$$[d(Px_{2n}, Rk) + d(Qk, Rx_{2n})]$$

$$=\{\,\frac{1}{2}\,[\,\,d(y_{2n},\,q)+d(y_{2n+1},\,y_{2n})],\,\,\frac{1}{2}\,[d(y_{2n},\,q)+d(y_{2n+1},\,q)],\,\,\frac{1}{2}\,[d(y_{2n},\,q)+d(Qk,\,y_{2n})],$$

$$\frac{1}{2}\left[d(y_{2n},\,q)+d(Qk,\,q)\right], \frac{1}{2}\left[d(y_{2n+1},\,y_{2n})+d(Qk,\,q)\right], \frac{1}{2}\left[d(y_{2n+1},\,q)+d(Qk,\,y_{2n})\right]\}$$

There are six possibilities:

Case 1: 
$$d(q, Qk) \le sc_{n+1} + \frac{st}{2} [d(y_{2n}, q) + d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n})]$$

$$\leq sc_{n+1} + \frac{st}{2}c_n + \frac{st}{2}[sd(y_{2n+1},q) + sd(q,y_{2n})]$$

$$\leq sc_{n+1} + \frac{st}{2}c_n + \frac{s^2t}{2}c_{n+1} + \frac{s^2t}{2}sc_n$$

$$\leq s(1+\frac{t}{2}+st)c_n$$

Case 2: 
$$d(q, Qk) \le sc_{n+1} + \frac{st}{2} [d(y_{2n}, q) + d(y_{2n+1}, q)]$$

$$\leq sc_{n+1} + \frac{st}{2}c_n + \frac{st}{2}c_{n+1} \leq s(t+1)c_n.$$

Case 3: 
$$d(q, Qk) \le sc_{n+1} + \frac{st}{2} [d(y_{2n}, q) + d(Qk, y_{2n})]$$

$$\leq sc_{n+1} + \frac{st}{2}\,c_n + \frac{st}{2}\left[sd(Qk,q) + sd(q,y_{2n})\right]$$

$$\left(1 - \frac{s^2t}{2}\right)d(q, Qk) \leq sc_{n+1} + \frac{st}{2}c_n + \frac{s^2t}{2}c_n$$

$$d(q, Qk) \le s \left(\frac{1 + \frac{t}{2} + \frac{st}{2}}{1 - \frac{s^2t}{2}}\right) c_n$$

Case4: 
$$d(q, Qk) \le sc_{n+1} + \frac{st}{2} [d(y_{2n}, q) + d(Qk,q)]$$

$$\left(1 - \frac{st}{2}\right) d(q, Qk) \le sc_{n+1} + \frac{st}{2}c_n$$

$$d(q, Qk) \le s \left(\frac{1 + \frac{t}{2}}{1 - \frac{st}{2}}\right) c_n$$

Case 5: 
$$d(q, Qk) \le sc_{n+1} + \frac{st}{2} [d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}) + d(Qk, q)]$$

$$\left(1 - \frac{st}{2}\right) d(q, Qk) \le sc_{n+1} + \frac{s^2t}{2} c_{n+1} + \frac{s^2t}{2} c_n$$

$$d(q, Qk) \le s \left(\frac{1+st}{1-\frac{st}{2}}\right) c_n$$

Case 6: 
$$d(q, Qk) \le sc_{n+1} + \frac{st}{2} [d(y_{2n+1}, q) + d(Qk, y_{2n})]$$

$$\leq sc_{n+1} + \frac{st}{2} c_{n+1} + \frac{st}{2} \left[ sd(Qk,q) + sd(q,y_{2n}) \right]$$

$$\left(1 - \frac{s^2t}{2}\right) d(q, Qk) \le sc_{n+1} + \frac{st}{2}c_{n+1} + \frac{s^2t}{2}c_n$$

$$d(q, Qk) \le s \left(\frac{1 + \frac{t}{2} + \frac{st}{2}}{1 - \frac{s^2t}{2}}\right) c_{n,}$$

Since the infimum of the sequences on the right hand side are zero, therefore d(q,Qk) = 0, that is Qk = q. Therefore Qk = Rk = q i.e. q is a point of coincidence of mappings Q, R and k is a coincidence point of mappings Q and R.

Now we show that Pk = q,

Consider, 
$$d(Pk,q) \le sd(Pk, Qx_{2n+1}) + sd(Qx_{2n+1}, q) \le sc_{n+1} + stM_{x_k,2n+1}(P, Q, R)$$

where 
$$M_{x_k,2n+1}(P,Q,R) \in \{\frac{1}{2} [d(Rk,Rx_{2n+1}) + d(Pk,Rk)], \frac{1}{2} [d(Rk,Rx_{2n+1}) + d(Pk,Rx_{2n+1})], \frac{1}{2} [d(Rk,Rx_{2n+1}) + d(Pk,Rx_{2n+1})], \frac{1}{2} [d(Rk,Rx_{2n+1}) + d(Rk,Rx_{2n+1})], \frac{1}{2} [d(Rk,Rx_{2n+1}) + d(Rk,Rx_{2n+1})$$

$$[d(Rk, Rx_{2n+1}) + d(Qx_{2n+1}, Rk)], \frac{1}{2} [d(Rk, Rx_{2n+1}) + d(Qx_{2n+1}, Rx_{2n+1})],$$

$$\frac{1}{2}\left[d(Pk, Rk) + d(Qx_{2n+1}, Rx_{2n+1})\right], \frac{1}{2}\left[d(Pk, Rx_{2n+1}) + d(Qx_{2n+1}, Rk)\right]\right\}$$

$$=\{\,\frac{1}{2}\,[d(q,\,y_{2n+1})+d(Pk,\,q)],\,\frac{1}{2}\,[d(q,\,y_{2n+1})+d(Pk,\,y_{2n+1})],\,\frac{1}{2}\,[d(q,\,y_{2n+1})+d(y_{2n+2},q)],$$

$$\frac{1}{2}\left[d(q,\,y_{2n+2})+d(y_{2n+2},\,y_{2n+1})\right], \\ \frac{1}{2}\left[d(Pk,\,q)+d(y_{2n+2},\,y_{2n+1})\right], \\ \frac{1}{2}\left[d(Pk,\,y_{2n+1})+d(y_{2n+2},\,q)\right]\}$$

There are six possibilities:

Case 1: 
$$d(Pk, q) \le sc_{n+1} + \frac{st}{2} [d(q, y_{2n+1}) + d(Pk, q)]$$

$$\left(1 - \frac{st}{2}\right) d(Pk, q) \le sc_{n+1} + \frac{st}{2}c_{n+1}$$

$$d(Pk, q) \le s \left(\frac{1 + \frac{t}{2}}{\left(1 - \frac{st}{2}\right)}\right) c_{n+1}$$

Case 2: 
$$d(Pk, q) \le sc_{n+1} + \frac{st}{2} [d(q, y_{2n+1}) + d(Pk, y_{2n+1})]$$

$$d(Pk,\,q) \leq sc_{n+1} + \, \frac{st}{2} \, c_{n+1} + \, \frac{st}{2} \, [sd(Pk,\,q) + sd(q,\,y_{2n+1})]$$

$$\left(1 - \frac{s^2t}{2}\right) d(Pk, q) \le sc_{n+1} + \frac{st}{2} c_{n+1} + \frac{s^2t}{2} c_{n+1}$$

$$d(Pk, q) \le s \left(\frac{1 + \frac{t}{2} + \frac{st}{2}}{1 - \frac{s^2t}{2}}\right) c_n$$

$$Case \ 3: \ d(Pk, \ q) \leq sc_{n+1} + \frac{st}{2} \left[ d(q, \ y_{2n+1}) + d(y_{2n+2}, q) \right] \leq sc_{n+1} + \ \frac{st}{2} \ c_{n+1} + \ \frac{st}{2} \ c$$

$$d(Pk, q) \le s(1+t)c_{n+1}$$

Case 4: 
$$d(Pk, q) \le sc_{n+1} + \frac{st}{2} [d(q, y_{2n+1}) + d(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+1})]$$

$$\leq sc_{n+1} + \frac{st}{2} \, c_{n+1} + \frac{st}{2} \, [ \ sd(y_{2n+2}, \, q) + sd(y_{2n+1}, q) ]$$

$$\leq \ sc_{n+1} + \frac{st}{2} \, c_{n+1} + \frac{s^2t}{2} \, c_{n+1} + \, \frac{s^2t}{2} \, c_{n+1}$$

$$\leq s(1+st+\frac{t}{2})c_{n+1}$$

Case 5: 
$$d(Pk, q) \le sc_{n+1} + \frac{st}{2} [d(Pk, q) + d(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+1})]$$

$$\leq sc_{n+1} + \frac{st}{2} \left[ (Pk,q) \right] + \frac{st}{2} \left[ sd(y_{2n+2},q) + sd(q,\,y_{2n+1}) \right]$$

$$\left(1 - \frac{st}{2}\right) d(Pk, q) \le sc_{n+1} + \frac{s^2t}{2}c_{n+1} + \frac{s^2t}{2}c_{n+1}$$

$$d(Pk, q) \le s \left(\frac{1+st}{1-\frac{st}{2}}\right) c_{n+1}$$

Case 6: 
$$d(Pk, q) \le sc_{n+1} + \frac{st}{2} [d(Pk, y_{2n+1}) + d(y_{2n+2}, q)]$$

$$d(Pk,\,q) \, \leq \, sc_{n+1} + \frac{st}{2} \left[ sd(Pk,\,q) + sd(q,\,y_{2n+1}) \right] + \frac{st}{2} \, c_{n+1}$$

$$\left(1 - \frac{s^2t}{2}\right)d(Pk, q) \le s \left(\frac{1 + \frac{t}{2} + \frac{st}{2}}{1 - \frac{s^2t}{2}}\right)c_{n+1}$$

Since the infimum of the sequences on the right hand side are zero, therefore d(Pk, q) = 0, that is Pk = q. Therefore Pk = Rk = q, i.e. n is a point of coincidence of mappings P and R. Thus k is a coincidence point of mappings P and R.

Now it remains to prove that q is a unique point of coincidence of pairs {P, R} and {Q, R}.

Let q' be also a point of coincidence of these three mappings, then Pk' = Qk' = Tk' = q',

for  $k' \in X$ , we have,

$$d(q, q') = d(Pk, Qk') \le tM_{k,k'}(P, Q, R)$$

where 
$$M_{k,k'}(P, Q, R) \in \{\frac{1}{2} [d(Rk, Rk') + d(Pk, Rk)], \frac{1}{2} [d(Rk, Rk') + d(Pk, Rk')],$$

$$\frac{1}{2}\left[d(Rk,\,Rk')+d(Qk',\,Rk)\right],\,\frac{1}{2}\left[d(Rk,\,Rk')+d(Qk',\,Rk')\right],\,\frac{1}{2}\left[d(Pk,\,Rk)+d(Qk',\,Rk')\right],$$

$$\frac{1}{2}\left[d(Pk, Rk') + d(Qk', Rk)\right]\right\}$$

$$= \{0, d(q, q')\}$$

Hence d(q, q') = 0 i.e. q = q'

If {P, R} and {Q, R} are weakly compatible, then q is a unique common fixed point of P, Q and R.

### 3.RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In 2016, Rad and Altun<sup>15</sup> proved some common fixed point results for three mappings on vector metric spaces. They proved the following results:

**THEOREM 3.1**:Let X be a vector metric space with E-Archimedean. Suppose the mappings  $f,g,T:X\to X$  satisfy the following conditions:

(i) for all 
$$x, y \in X$$
,  $d(fx, gy) \le ku_{x,y}(f, g, T)$  (10)

where  $k \in (0, 1)$  is a constant and

$$u_{x,y}(f,g,T) \in \{d(Tx,Ty), d(fx,Tx), d(gy,Ty), \frac{1}{2} [d(fx,Ty) + d(gy,Tx)](11)\}$$

- (ii)  $f(X) \cup g(X) \subset T(X)$
- (iii) one of f(X), g(X) or T(X) is a E-complete subspace of X.

Then  $\{f,T\}$  and  $\{g,T\}$  have a unique point of coincidence in X. Moreover, if  $\{f,T\}$  and

{g,T} are weakly compatible, then f,g and T have a unique common fixed point in X

where 
$$k \in (0, 1]$$
. (12)

$$u_{x,y}(f,g) \in \{d(fx,gy), d(fx,gx), d(fy,gy), d(fx,gy), d(fy,gx)\}$$
 (13)

- (ii)  $f(X) \subseteq T(X)$
- (iii) one of f(X) or T(X) is a E-complete subspace of X.

Then {f, T} have a unique point of coincidence in X. Moreover, if {f, T} are weakly compatible, then f and T have a unique common fixed point in X.

In 2017, Latpate<sup>1</sup> proved the results for three mappings on complete metric spaces. He proved the following result:

Let (X, d) be a complete Metric space and Let A be a nonempty closed subset of X.

Let P, Q:  $A \rightarrow A$  be such that

$$d(P_x,\ Q_y) \leq \frac{1}{2} \left[ d(R_x,\ Q_y) \,+\, d(R_y,\ P_x) \,+\, d(S_x,\ R_y) \right] \ \, \text{-} \, \psi[d(R_x,\ Q_y) \,+\, d(R_y,\ P_x)] \eqno(14)$$

For any  $(x, y) \in X \times X$ , where a function  $\psi: [0, \infty)^2 \to [0, \infty)$  is continuous and  $\psi(x, y) = 0$  iff x = y = 0 and R: A  $\to X$ which satisfies the following condition.

- (i)  $PA \subseteq RA$  and  $QA \subseteq RA$
- (ii) The pair of mappings (P,R) and (Q, R) are weakly compatible.
- (iii) R(A) is closed subset of X.

Then P,R and Q have unique common fixed point.

Motivated by their results, we have proved similar results for three mappings on E-b-metric spaces.

Further, these results can be investigated for four and six mappings on E-b-metric space.

### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

We are thankful for thereferees for valuable suggestions.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Latpate, VV, Dolhare, UP, common fixed point theorem of three mappings in complete metric spaces, Int. J. Appl. Pure Sci. Agriculture, 2017; 03:1-6.
- 2. Abbas M, Rhoades and NaigrT, Common fixed point results for four maps in cone metric spaces, Appl. Math. Comput. Anal., 2010; 216l: 80-86.
- 3. ArshadM, Azam, A. and VetroP., Some common fixed point results in cone metric spaces, Fixed Point Theory Appl., 2009; Article ID 493965.
- 4. Jungck G, Common fixed point for commuting and compatible maps on compacta, Proc. Am. Math. Soc., 1988; 103: 977-983.
- 5. Rahimi H, Vetro Pand Soleimani RadG ,Some common fixed point results for weakly compatible mappings in cone metric type space, Miskolc Math. Notes., 2013; 14(1): 233-243.
- 6. AltunI, Cevik C, Some common fixed point theorems in vector metric spaces, Filomat, 2011;25(1):105–113.

- 7. PetreIR, Fixed point theorems in E-b-metric spaces, J. Non linear Sci. Appl. 2014; 07: 264-271.
- 8. Cevik C, Altun I, Vector metric spaces and some properties, Topol. Met. Nonlin. Anal., 2009; 34(2): 375-382.
- 9. Aliprantis CD, Border KC, Infinite Dimensional Analysis, Springer-Verlag, Berling, 1999.
- 10. Luxemburg WAJ, Zannen AC, Riesz Spaces, North-Holland Publishing Company, Amsterdam 1971.
- 11. Kir M. Kiziltunc H. On some well known fixed point theorems in b-metric spaces, Turkish J. Anal. Number Theory,2013; 01: 13-16.
- 12. Mishra PK, Sachdeva Sand Banerjee SK. Some fixed point theorems in b-metric space, Turkish J. Anal. Number Theory, 2014; 2: 19-22.
- 13. Rahimi H,Rohades E., Fixed point theorems for weakly compatible mappings in cone metric type space, Miskolc Math. Notes. 2013;14(1): 233-243.
- 14. Abbas M,Jungck G ,Common fixed point results for noncommuting mappings without continuity in cone metric spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 2008; 341: 416-470.
- 15. Rad, G. S., Altun, I, Common fixed point results on vector metric spaces, J. Linear Topol. Algebra, 2016; 05: 29-39.